PlayStation.

Sony PlayStation Network – Approach to Site Reliability Engineering

Yu Suefuji / Yuya Miyahara

2023/6/14v 1.0



©2023 Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC

About us

Sony Interactive Entertainment





©2023 Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC





Inside report 2020-05





#PS5Launch #Singapore #GardenBytheBays



#PS5Launch #SaudiArabia #IthraTower #Khobar



#PS5Launch #Japan #神田明神 #Tokyo



#PS5Launch #NewZealand #SkyTower #Auckland

PLAY HAS NO LIMITS 遊びの限界を超える

PlayStation Network Server team map



©2023 Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC



Small Ops Team

- - Network
 - OS
 - Application Deploy/Operation
 - Incident/Problem Management

- PlayStation Network launched in 2006 alongside PS3
 - Application deployment and operations for all services by 1 person (Sign-In, Messaging, Profile, Friend, Ranking, Matchmaking, etc.)
- Direct Individual Communications between Dev and Ops
- 24/7 monitoring by external contractors
- Team expanded as PlayStation Network users increased







3 Ops Teams – By Function





Communication

Community Back End

- PS4 launched in 2013, bringing big changes to network services
- Infrastructure
 - On-premise to Amazon Web Services
- System architecture
 - Sign-In, Authentication, Notification, Profile, Presence, etc.
- <u>Network interconnectivity and dependencies</u>
 - Tokyo, San Diego, San Francisco
- Global service operations in San Diego
 - 24/7 monitoring from external vendor to internal team

PS4 launch (2013~)



3 Ops Teams – By Function







Communication

- Dev and Ops team expanded independently
 - Each Ops team had to communicate with each Dev scrum since their responsibilities were different
- As services grew, Dev<->Ops communications became more important
- At the same time, # of communication channels became unmanageable





Embedded SRE model

- 20+ SREs, 10+ scrum teams
- •2 SREs embedded in each scrum
- Reliability, Performance, Efficiency, Security

8	8	8	8
8	8	8	8

PS5 launch (2020~)

- PS5 launched in 2020
- Team transformed from traditional Dev/Ops to Embedded SRE model
 - Joined scrum activities (Daily, sprint planning, etc.)
 - Managed Ops tasks as a scrum
- Workstyle and Culture Change
 - Different roles between Dev and Ops
 - Dev gained understanding of Ops, and vice versa
 - Collaborated on deployment strategies, resource provisioning, monitoring, alerting, troubleshooting



Embedded SRE model

- 20+ SREs, 10+ scrum teams
- •2 SREs embedded in each scrum
- Reliability, Performance, Efficiency, Security



PS5 launch (2020~)

- Deployment, Monitoring, Alerting, Troubleshooting, Cost Mgmt as a scrum
- SRE collaboration across scrums when improving common operation scheme
- CI/CD and monitoring/logging tools provided by platform and tools team



Global SRE Overview in PlayStation Network

PlayStation Network Server team map



©2023 Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC



SRE Teams outline (focus on this session)

Tokyo	 Embedded SRE model 20+ SREs supporting 10+ scrum teams (200+ services)
San Diego	 Embedded SRE model (Commerce) 10+ SREs supporting 10+ scrum teams(50+ services) Central SRE model (Account) 10+ SREs supporting 10+ scrum teams(50+ services)
San Francisco	 No SRE model Developers owning SRE/Ops tasks (200+services)

Other SRE Teams / Related teams

Other SRE Teams	 Los Angeles Aliso Viejo UK India Client, Studio, etc.
Related Teams	 DBRE Teams Infrastructure Teams Operation Teams Platform Teams

Embedded SRE model - Tokyo

Going Well	 Stable SRE capacity per service Ease in maintaining service status awareness Mature DevOps culture
Opportunities	 Difficult to scale w/ the platform and organization Prioritization of Scrum Activities > Cross-Scrum Kaizen Sepecialized SREs – need to consider knowledge sharing + job rotations



Embedded SRE model(w/ Core SRE team) - San Diego(Commerce)

Going Well	 Service teams(Dev) can focus less on Ops Less dependencies Core SRE team facilitating cross-service collaboration
Opportunities	 Dedicated embedded SRE turns into THE "ops person" Difficult to scale w/ the platform and organization

Dedicated embedded SRE turns into THE "ops person"



Central SRE model - San Diego(Account)

Going	Well
-------	------

- Centralized backlog and priorities
- Easier to standardize on process, tools and adoption
- "One stop" to troubleshoot/resolve issues across services
- Provide single interface for external stakeholders

Opportunities

- Scaling team and align priorities among dev, SRE, and other teams
- Coordination between service and platform/security teams
- Service team push toil work on SRE



No SRE/ad-hoc SRE model - San Francisco

•

Going Well

- Empowers service teams: "you build it, you run it"
- Ensures service teams understand technologies and dependencies in-depth

Opportunities

- Less mature service teams still reach out to k8s platform team
 - "my service is down, can you help me figure it out?"
- Missing SRE to help improve the service for the future



Model	Pros	Cons
Embedded SRE	 Stable SRE task progress Focus on each scrum's services Utilize Dev&Ops expertise in one team Mature DevOps culture/team autonomy 	 Lack of centralized SRE backlog Headcount scalability Multiple interfaces for external teams Tend to cause personalization of tasks
Central SRE	 Stable SRE task progress Centralized backlog Single interface for external teams Easy to share knowledge among SREs 	 Boundary between Dev and Ops Cognitive load for services' variety Coordination difficulty
No(ad-hoc) SRE	 Focus on each scrum's services Maximize team autonomy Grow in-depth system understanding 	 Unstable SRE task progress Lack of centralized SRE backlog Multiple interfaces for external teams Require team maturity

Key Takeaway

- No single SRE model fits for all teams
- Each SRE model can solve different problems
- The function of the model is influenced by it's environment:
 - Culture, System, Member capability, Team maturity

SRE leaders – Simply selecting a model is not enough

To fully optimize we must intimately understand and consider several factors

- Your Team's Strengths
- Your Team's Input + Voice

Four Keys + Availability

- Your System + Evironment
- Observation Metrics



Let's use kaizen to polish the SRE team together!

Tokyo SRE team for the future

Opportunities for Embedded SRE model

- Difficult to scale w/ the platform and organization
 - Embedded SRE needs to do platform enhancement work and customer delivery work in parallel
 - Unifying infrastructure/tools and security enhancement are more important as the platform become bigger
- Prioritization of Scrum Activities > Cross-Scrum Kaizen
 - SRE needs to do scrum work and cross-scrum kaizen work in parallel
 - Difficult to prioritize cross-scrum kaizen work since SREs are embedded in a scrum





Hybrid (Embedded and Platform) SRE

- Launch Platform SRE team this year, and migrate our SRE model to Hybrid SRE
 - **Objective 1**: Improve efficiency of cross-scrum service operations work
 - Standardize operation baseline so that SRE can apply changes across the services
 - Objective 2: Reduce the Embedded SRE workload, allowing increased focus on "SRE work"
 - Leverage the resources and assets that other related teams have
 - **Objective 3**: Introduce new model and approach improvements to embedded SREs + scrums





Captured on PS5™