The Self-Destructive Nature of Dark Patterns:

Revealing Negative Impacts on Usability and Trust in Service Providers

Toi Kojima¹, Hiromi Arai², Masakatsu Nishigaki¹, and Tetsushi Ohki^{1, 2}

¹Shizuoka University, ²RIKEN AIP



Motivation

- Dark patterns: Widely adopted in digital marketing; global efforts to regulate are increasing.
- Effect on People: Waste time and money, and cause addiction to specific services.
- Unintended Impact: Users who avoid dark patterns experience stress and frustration due to extra time and effort.
- Research Focus: Examines the negative impacts on usability for users avoiding dark patterns and explores how this undermines trust in a company.
- **Contribution**: This research sheds light on previously overlooked non-deceived users, unveiling the negative impacts of dark patterns that companies have been neglecting.

RO2:

patterns?

Research Questions

What are the factors that

make users more prone to

experiencing the negative

Method

impacts caused by dark

RQ1:

Are there differences in the negative impacts experienced by nondeceived users compared to deceived users?

RQ3:

How do users regard the impacts caused by the dark patterns in services?

• Task-based and guestionnaire-based surveys in Japanese.

- Recruited 350 participants through the crowdsourcing service lancers.jp, fluent in Japanese.
- Tasks: Participants experienced the process of using a food delivery service on a website that included dark patterns.
- Implemented three representative dark patterns on food delivery services: Sneak into Basket, Trick Questions, and Preselection.



Figure 1: Overview of our method

- Our test included elapsed time during the task, usability ratings (WUS), and customer satisfaction ratings (NPS).
- We also included demographic questions related to users' internet literacy.

Dark patterr

INTACT PREFERENCES

YES	I do want to hear about exclusive offers & discounts	0	NO	I'd rather NOT hear about exclusive offers & discounts

Dark patterns



Results

- We classified participants into the deceived group (D group) and the non-deceived group (ND group) for each page.
- For non-deceived users, the elapsed time was significantly longer, the usability and customer satisfaction ratings were significantly lower.
- We discuss how participants recognize and respond to dark patterns through their openended responses.
- We also test the internet literacy between D group and ND group.



Open-ended responses -

- Some responded : "I thought it was quite malicious," "I felt a significant loss of trust."
- Some reacted : "*canceling purchases*" by discovering dark patterns Some said : "*it's fine*." or "*I gave up*." and did not avoid dark patterns
- despite awareness.
- → Users' behavior also depends on the avoidance costs

Discussion

Answer to Research Questions

- RQ1: In most cases non-deceived users experienced negatively impacted more than the deceived users.
- **RQ2:** Users detect dark patterns regardless of Internet literacy
 - RQ3: Some users accept dark patterns, considering the cost of avoidance.



Page	<i>p</i> -value
Cart	0.813
Premium	0.460
Purchase	0.164
Table 1. Results of	the test f

internet literacy

Implications

- Service providers should be aware that the potential cost of dark patterns includes negative usability impacts on non-deceived users.
- Service providers should note that users who act as intended may not necessarily be satisfied.

Future Research

What factors influence how users estimate the cost of avoiding dark patterns?