DMon: Efficient Detection and Correction of Data Locality Problems Using Selective Profiling

Tanvir Ahmed Khan, Ian Neal, Gilles Pokam, Barzan Mozafari, Baris Kasikci



About Store

Sigr



Millions of dollars in management and energy cost +Planet-scale carbon footprint

CPU Performance of Google Web Search¹



[1] AsmDB: understanding and mitigating front-end stalls in warehouse-scale computers, ISCA 2019

Existing Techniques & Why They Fall Short?

Compiler Optimizations

- Automatically improve data locality via program transformation
- No run-time overhead
- Rely on static heuristics
- Can sometimes even hurt performance

Dynamic Profilers

- Help developers identify and resolve poor data locality
- Accurate execution information
- Mostly manual repair
- High profiling overhead when used to detect data locality issues

DMon's Contributions

- Selective profiling to detect data locality problems accurately and efficiently
- Apply specific compiler optimizations based on profiling results
- Evaluation showing the efficiency of selective profiling and effectiveness of targeted optimizations
 - Negligible (less than 2%) overhead
 - 17% average speedup for popular benchmarks from PARSEC, SPLASH, NPB
 - 7% average speedup for PostgreSQL

DMon's Design

- Continuous in-production monitoring to identify data locality problems
- In-house static analysis to identify memory access pattern
- In-house static transformations to optimize locality



Targeted Monitoring

- Leverage the hierarchical Topdown approach from Intel
- Not all problems are related to data locality
- Only focus on a small subtree related to data locality



Incremental Monitoring



- Monitor the program
 execution in short time slices
 - Incrementally enable more detailed profiling
 - Can identify even different locality problems at various program phases

Offline Analysis and Transformations



Evaluation Summary

- Efficiency
 - On average 1.36% overhead
 - 9x lower overhead than state-of-the-art data locality profiler
- Effectiveness
 - Accurately detect data locality problems for benchmarks from PARSEC, SPLASH-2X, and NPB suites
 - On average 16.83% and up to 53.14% speedup
 - 20% more speedup than state-of-the-art profile-guided data locality optimizer
- Real-world case studies
 - PostgreSQL, Apache-spark page-rank, and others

Performance Speedup on PostgreSQL



DMon speeds up PostgreSQL by 7% on average

DMon: Data Locality Optimizations via Selective Profiling

In Production takh@umich.edu • Selective profiling to detect data locality problems 101100 = 010110 accurately and efficiently 100101 Source • Apply specific optimizations Code **Selective Profiling** 1 based on profiling results Incremental Targeted Sampling 17% speedup with Monitoring Monitoring 101<u>011</u> negligible (less than 2%) 010110 overhead **01**0101 Offline Automated/Manual **Static Memory Access Pattern** Locality 3 Analysis (2) **Optimizations**

github.com/efeslab/DMon-AE