Boggart: Iowards General-Purpose Acceleration of **Retrospective Video Analytics**

Neil Agarwal, Ravi Netravali **PRINCETON** UNIVERSITY

April 18, 2023

NSDI 2023



Unprecedented amount of video camera footage

After-the-fact analysis





Retrospective Video Analytics



NY 0 0 LA





Sports Analysis









Retrospective Video Analytics Pipeline





Challenge: High Compute Overheads \rightarrow Querying is Expensive & Slow



Preprocessing

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities



Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities



...

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities



...

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities



...

Query Execution



Preprocessing

Extract model-specific content similarities



...

Query Execution





Querying Behavior

Previously



Implication: preprocessing model = query model

Today

Querying Behavior

Previously



Implication: preprocessing model = query model



Time

Implication: preprocessing model ≠ query model







Model 1

Model 2







Models find different objects





Models find different objects



Objects are labeled differently







Objects are labeled differently





Preprocessing Model: Model 2 **Query Model:** Model 1



Objects are labeled differently





Preprocessing Model: Model 2 Query Model: Model 1



Objects are labeled differently

Query: Counting # of cars per frame **Accuracy**: avg(100%, 0%, 100%) = **66%**



Discrepancies Across Real Models

Discrepancies Across Real Models

Query: Counting # Cars per Frame

Query Model	FRCNN (VOC)	100%	72.8%	82.6%	65.9%
	YOLO (VOC)	57.8%	100%	90.0%	84.1%
	FRCNN (COCO)	15.7%	25.3%	100%	32.8%
	YOLO (COCO)	22.4%	43.1%	60.1%	100%
	L	FRĊNN (VOC)	YOLO (VOC)	FRĊNN (COCO)	YOLO (COCO)

Preprocessing Model

Query accuracy of preprocessing with YOLO model trained on the COCO dataset but querying with FRCNN model trained on the COCO dataset is 32.8%



Discrepancies Across Real Models

Query: Counting # Cars per Frame

Query Model	FRCNN (VOC)	100%	72.8%	82.6%	65.9%
	YOLO (VOC)	57.8%	100%	90.0%	84.1%
	FRCNN (COCO)	15.7%	25.3%	100%	32.8%
	YOLO (COCO)	22.4%	43.1%	60.1%	100%
	L	FRĊNN (VOC)	YOLO (VOC)	FRĊNN (COCO)	YOLO (COCO)

Preprocessing Model

Accuracy of Full Dataset Analysis

Counting Queries: 16-92%

Bounding Box Queries: 6-54%

Query accuracy of preprocessing with YOLO model trained on the COCO dataset but querying with FRCNN model trained on the COCO dataset is 32.8%







How do you preprocess video data to accelerate retrospective querying with diverse models?

baa · grt







Relatively cheap to perform





Relatively cheap to perform







Provide a way to link information across frames



Classical Computer Vision Techniques

12





Classical Computer Vision Techniques







Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Preprocessing



Extracting trajectories of areas of motion







Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Preprocessing



Extracting trajectories of areas of motion

- Can be leveraged to comprehensively & generically extract info from a video
- Less accurate than ML







Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Preprocessing



Extracting trajectories of areas of motion

Can be leveraged to comprehensively & generically extract info from a video
Less accurate than ML

Query Execution



Model-specific labeling & propagation





Extracting trajectories of areas of motion

Can be leveraged to comprehensively & generically extract info from a video
Less accurate than ML

Query Execution



Model-specific labeling & propagation


Preprocessing

Trajectories of Blobs

Frame ID	Trajectory ID	x1	y1	x2	y2
1	1	100	200	100	300
1	2	200	600	300	500
1	3	80	120	90	230
2	1	105	205	105	305
		•••			



Preprocessing

Trajectories of Blobs

Frame ID	Trajectory ID	x1	y1	x2	y2
1	1	100	200	100	300
1	2	200	600	300	500
1	3	80	120	90	230
2	1	105	205	105	305
		•••	•••		









Raw Video





Background Estimate

Foreground (Moving Pixels)





Foreground (Moving Pixels)





Blobs





Foreground Extraction

Blob Extraction



Keypoint Detection



Keypoint Matching



Trajectory Stitching



Foreground Extraction





Keypoint Detection

Trajectory

Stitching

Matching





KeypointKeypointTrajectoryDetectionMatchingStitching

Preprocessing

Trajectories of Blobs

Frame ID	Trajectory ID	x1	y1	x2	y2
1	1	100	200	100	300
1	2	200	600	300	500
1	3	80	120	90	230
2	1	105	205	105	305
		• • •			

Need to tune CV techniques conservatively to comprehensively extract information!







Boggart's Insight



Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Preprocessing



Trajectories of areas of motion

- Can be leverage to comprehensively & generically extract info from a video
- Less accurate than ML



Query Execution



Model-specific labeling & propagation



Boggart's Insight



Classical Computer Vision Techniques

Preprocessing



Trajectories of areas of motion

Can be leverage to comprehensively & generically extract info from a video
Less accurate than ML



Model-specific labeling & propagation





Idea: run model on as few frames as possible and use trajectories to propagate model results to the remaining frames

Idea: run model on as few frames as possible and use trajectories to propagate model results to the remaining frames

Challenge: misalignment of blobs with ML model output

Idea: run model on as few frames as possible and use trajectories to propagate model results to the remaining frames

Challenge: misalignment of blobs with ML model output



Preprocessing Blobs





Idea: run model on as few frames as possible and use trajectories to propagate model results to the remaining frames

Challenge: misalignment of blobs with ML model output





Idea: run model on as few frames as possible and use trajectories to propagate model results to the remaining frames

Challenge: misalignment of blobs with ML model output





Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



of frames on which to run the model is influenced by video dynamism



Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



of frames on which to run the model is influenced by video dynamism



Cluster similar video segments and profile a small portion of each cluster

Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames

Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



Relative position between an object's keypoints and its bounding boxes remain stable over time

Identify the smallest set of frames on which to run the model



Correct imprecisions during model result propagation across the remaining frames



Relative position between an object's keypoints and its bounding boxes remain stable over time

$$(ax_k, ay_k) = \left(\frac{x_2 - x_k}{x_2 - x_1}, \frac{y_2 - y_k}{y_2 - y_1}\right)$$
$$\sum_{k'}^{K'} \left[\left(\frac{x_2 - x_{k'}}{x_2 - x_1} - ax_k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y_2 - y_{k'}}{y_2 - y_1} - ay_k\right)^2 \right]$$

Search for blob coordinates that maximally preserve these relationships

Evaluation Methodology



96 hours of publicly available camera footage

Query Types: binary classification, counting, bounding box detection

Objects of interest: cars & people

Accuracy Targets: 80%, 90%, 95%

Query Models: 3 architectures, each trained on 2 datasets

Evaluation Axes

- Query-execution speedups
- Comparison to existing systems
- Performance on downsampled video
- Resource scaling
- Storage costs
- Parameter sensitivity
- Generalizability

Evaluation Axes

Query-execution speedups

Comparison to existing systems

- Performance on downsampled video
- Resource scaling
- Storage costs
- Parameter sensitivity
- Generalizability





Query Execution Speedups

Baseline: run query model on every frame

Query:

- Model: YOLOv3+COCO
- Accuracy Target: 90%
- Query Type: Binary Classification



Query Execution Speedups



Baseline: run query model on every frame

Query:

- Model: YOLOv3+COCO
- Accuracy Target: 90%
- Query Type: Binary Classification

Result: Boggart returned results that achieved an accuracy of 93% while requiring the query model to be run on only 5% of the total frames









Accuracy Target: 80%





Accuracy Target: 90%

Query Model

Accuracy Target: 95%



Query Model





Boggart consistently meets specified accuracy targets while requiring a fraction of the compute!

Accuracy Target: 90%

Accuracy Target: 95%









Query Execution Speedups

Accuracy Target: 80%





Baseline: run query model on every frame

Accuracy Target: 90%

Query Model

Accuracy Target: 95%



Query Model







Finer-grained queries and higher accuracy targets -> Run query model on more frames

Accuracy Target: 90%

SSD



Accuracy Target: 95%









Querying for people requires more model inference than querying for cars.

Focus (OSDI '18) leverages model-specific preprocessing to accelerate binary classification queries.

Focus (OSDI '18) leverages model-specific preprocessing to accelerate binary classification queries.

Model: YOLOv3+COCO, **Accuracy Target**: 90%

Low cost for generalization



Query Execution

Focus (OSDI '18) leverages model-specific preprocessing to accelerate binary classification queries.





Evaluation Axes

- Query-execution speedups
- Comparison to existing systems
- Performance on downsampled video
- Resource scaling
- Storage costs
- Parameter sensitivity
- Generalizability



- A general-purpose accelerator for retrospective querying with diverse user-provided models
- Leverages model-agnostic computer vision techniques to generate trajectories of areas of motion
- Despite its generality, its speedups match (and most often, exceed) existing approaches

Source code available at github.com/neilsagarwal/boggart