Qapla: Policy compliance for database-backed systems

Aastha Mehta¹, Eslam Elnikety¹, Katura Harvey^{1,2}, Deepak Garg¹, Peter Druschel¹

¹Max Planck Institute for Software Systems (MPI-SWS) ²University Of Maryland, College Park

Web applications store confidential data in DBMS



Application architecture

Healthcare systems

patient records

Personnel management systems

salaries, ages

Conference management systems

submissions, reviews

Requirement: applications must comply with data access policies

Challenge: specifying and enforcing complex data access policies is non-trivial

Web applications store confidential data in DBMS



Application architecture

Healthcare systems

patient records

Personnel management systems

salaries, ages

Conference management systems

submissions, reviews

Requirement: applications must comply with data access policies

this talk: HotCRP

Challenge: specifying and enforcing complex data access policies is non-trivial

Current approach: enforcing policy in application code

Example from HotCRP (simplified for illustration)

Current application logic



Policy checks inlined throughout application code

Application code



- Must update checks in several code paths as application or policies evolve
- Easy to miss or implement incorrect checks

Examples of data leaks in HotCRP

HotCRP v2.58 23.Mar.2013 More information leak plugging: explicit search for review fields that should be hidden from authors, and review rounds. Reported by John Heidemann.

HotCRP v2.59 14.Jun.2013

Bug fix: "Monitor external reviews" works. Reported by Peter Sewell. Information leak fixes: During response periods, don't notify authors of changes in PC-only fields. Don't allow searches on review rounds for conflicted papers. Don't show accept status via "Accepted papers" searches. Reported by Nickolai Zeldovich and Jeff Mogul.

Source: http://read.seas.harvard.edu/~kohler/hotcrp/news.html

Limitations of existing approaches





Enforcing policy in application code: Bugs in application code may lead to poli

Bugs in application code may lead to policy violations and cause data leaks

Using DBMS access control support:

Cannot support all policies without changes to DB schema or application queries

Our approach



Goals:

- Separate policy compliance from application code and DBMS
- Support complex, fine-grained data access policies
- Add only moderate performance overheads for end users

Outline

- Policy compliance today
 - Policy checks in application
 - DBMS access control
- Qapla
 - Design
 - Policy specification
 - Policy enforcement
- Evaluation





1 **Declarative policies** associated with the DB schema, stored in the DBMS



- 1 **Declarative policies** associated with the DB schema, stored in the DBMS
- 2 Policies enforced by a **reference monitor** integrated with a DB adapter



- 1 **Declarative policies** associated with the DB schema, stored in the DBMS
- 2 Policies enforced by a **reference monitor** integrated with a DB adapter
- 3 Reference monitor **rewrites query** with applicable policies



- 1 **Declarative policies** associated with the DB schema, stored in the DBMS
- 2 Policies enforced by a **reference monitor** integrated with a DB adapter
- 3 Reference monitor **rewrites query** with applicable policies
- 4 Forwards **compliant query results** to the application



- 1 Declarative policies associated with the DB schema, stored in the DBMS
- 2 Policies enforced by a **reference monitor** integrated with a DB adapter
- 3 Reference monitor **rewrites query** with applicable policies
- 4 Forwards **compliant query results** to the application

Compliant application queries Non-compliant queries



fewer results



Our goal: prevent inadvertent data leaks due to application bugs



Our goal: prevent inadvertent data leaks due to application bugs

Prototype Limitations

- epplication does not circumvent the reference monitor
- can use software fault isolation, process or address space isolation
- ! application sends correct user identity to reference monitor
- user can directly authenticate with the reference monitor

Policies on DB queries

1. single column policies

SELECT col



3. policies on aggregate, group by



SELECT COUNT(col) GROUP BY col

Authors can see their reviews only after decision notification

| _ | • | |
|-----|------|--|
| ROV | iews | |
| | TCMD | |
| | | |

| paperId | contactId | review |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Papers

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Authors can see their reviews only after decision notification

| Reviews | | - |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| paperId | contactId | review |
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Papers

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Reviews.review :-

n . . . !

Authors can see their reviews only after decision notification

| _ | • |
|-----|------|
| ROV | iews |
| | TCWD |
| | |

| paperId | contactId | review |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Papers

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Reviews.review :CURRENT_DATE() >= 22-06-2017
AND
EXISTS(SELECT 1 FROM Papers
WHERE paperId = Reviews.paperId
AND author = \$user)

/* date after decision notification */

/* user is an author of paper with paperId = Reviews.paperId */

Authors can see their reviews only after decision notification



Papers

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Reviews.review :- column-level condition CURRENT_DATE() >= 22-06-2017 /* date after decision notification */ AND cell-level condition EXISTS(SELECT 1 FROM Papers // WHERE paperId = Reviews.paperId /* user is an author of paper with AND author = \$user) /* user is an author of paper with paperId = Reviews.paperId */

Authors can see PC **names** and **reviews** independently, but cannot **link** them

| _ | • | |
|------|------|--|
| RO17 | iews | |
| TC A | TCMD | |
| | | |

| paperId | contactId | review |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Contacts

| contactId | name | role |
|-----------|------|------|
| | | |
| 9 | Bob | PC |

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Authors can see PC **names** and **reviews** independently, but cannot **link** them

{Reviews.review, Reviews.contactId, Contacts.name, Contacts.contactId} :-

| KEVIEWS | | |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| paperId | contactId | review |
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Contacts

Pouriours

| contactId | name | role |
|-----------|------|------|
| | | |
| 9 | Bob | PC |

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Authors can see PC **names** and **reviews** independently, but cannot **link** them

{Reviews.review, Reviews.contactId, Contacts.name, Contacts.contactId} :-

NOT EXISTS(SELECT 1 FROM Papers WHERE author=\$user)

> author cannot access these columns together

Reviews

| paperId | contactId | review |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

Contacts

| contactId | name | role |
|-----------|------|------|
| | | |
| 9 | Bob | PC |

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

authors can see their reviews

Authors can see PC **names** and **reviews** independently, but cannot **link** them

{Reviews.review, Reviews.contactId, Contacts.name, Contacts.contactId} :-

NOT EXISTS(SELECT 1 FROM Papers WHERE author=\$user)

> author cannot access these columns together

| Reviews | only after notification | |
|---------|-------------------------|--|
| paperId | contactId revie | |
| | | |
| 123 | 9 | |

| Co | ontacts | all users can see PC names | | |
|----|---------|----------------------------|------|--|
| со | ntactId | name 🖌 | role | |
| | | | | |
| 9 | | Bob | PC | |

| paperId | author | outcome |
|---------|--------|---------|
| | | |
| 123 | Alice | |

Policy on aggregate queries

authors can see their outcome only after notification

| Papers | <u> </u> | |
|---------|----------------|--------|
| paperId | author outcome | |
| | | accept |
| 123 | Alice | accept |
| | | reject |

Policy on aggregate queries

Anyone can see the number of submitted and accepted papers after the decision notification

| Ρ | a | p | e | r | S |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| paperId | author | outcome | |
|---------|--------|---------|--|
| | | accept | |
| 123 | Alice | accept | |
| | | reject | |

{Papers.outcome[COUNT, GROUP BY]} :CURRENT_DATE() >= 22-06-2017

/* allow COUNT query with GROUP BY on outcome only after notification */

Summary: Qapla policy specification framework

Qapla policy set of columns :- SQL WHERE clauses on tables

1. single column policies





3. policies on aggregate, group by



4. policies on UDFs





col1 col2

Policy enforcement

Policies:

{col1} :- P1



Outline

- Policy compliance today
 - Policy checks in application
 - DBMS access control
- Qapla
 - Design
 - Policy specification
 - Policy enforcement
- Evaluation

Reference monitor implementation

- ~20K lines of C code (+ MySQL parser library)
- identifying set of columns, rewriting query with applicable policies
- API: create and set policies on columns



Policy compliance in HotCRP

- Schema: 22 tables, 215 columns
- Anonymized data from past conference hosting
- Implemented 30 policies for a typical configuration
 - double blind reviewing
 - chair conflict handling
 - review process with no rebuttal
- Application changes
 - overly general queries return fewer results with Qapla
 - changed ~150 out of ~52K LoC in application (< 0.3%)

End-to-end latency for user actions

Author clicks review URL: an author clicks the URL of paper to view reviews after notification

PC saves comment: a PC member clicks a button to save comments on a paper during review phase

Chair sets conflict: Chair assigns conflict for a paper and a PC member

Chair clicks assign button: Chair clicks a button automatic review assignment

End-to-end latency for user actions



End-to-end latency for user actions

Most of Qapla's overhead corresponds to execution of rewritten queries





DB-backed systems ______application bugs _____ data leaks due to policy violations

Qapla: an effective policy compliance system

- independent of DBMS support for access control
- independent of application code
- modest changes to application for functionality
- moderate overhead for end users

