# SafeHidden: An Efficient and Secure Information Hiding Technique Using Re-randomization

**<u>Zhe Wang</u><sup>1</sup>**, Chenggang Wu<sup>1</sup>, Yinqian Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Bowen Tang<sup>1</sup>, Pen-Chung Yew<sup>3</sup>, Mengyao Xie<sup>1</sup>, Yuanming Lai<sup>1</sup>, Yan Kang<sup>1</sup>, Yueqiang Cheng<sup>4</sup>, and Zhiping Shi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
<sup>2</sup>The Ohio State University,
<sup>3</sup>University of Minnesota at Twin-Cities,
<sup>4</sup>Baidu USA,
<sup>5</sup>The Capital Normal University

# Information Hiding Technique

#### Information Hiding Technique

- Hiding an important area at a **random** location
- Has no pointers in memory referring to it
- Is as **small** as possible
- Normal accesses are done through
   an offset from a dedicated register

#### It is widely used in

- Code Pointer Integrity
- Control Flow Integrity
- Code (Re-)Randomization



# Attacks against Information Hiding

- CROP attack [NDSS'16]
  - Using the exception handling mechanism to avoid crash.
- Clone-probing attack [S&P'14]
  - Probing the child processes to avoid crash the parent process.



- Attack via spraying safe areas [SECURITY'16]
  - Spraying thread-local safe areas via spraying threads.

# Attacks against Information Hiding

- Attack via spraying safe areas [SECURITY'16]
  - Spraying thread-local safe areas via spraying threads.
- Attack via filling memory holes [SECURITY'16]
  - Allocating memory to occupy the unmapped areas.







- Threat Model
- Attack vectors
- Our design
- System Implementation
- Evaluation

# Threat Model

- We consider an IH-based defense that protects a vulnerable application against code reuse attacks.
  - Web servers or browsers.

#### • The design of this IH-based defense is not flawed:

 Before launching code reuse attacks, attackers must circumvent the defense by revealing the safe area.

#### Attackers' abilities

- Read and write arbitrary memory locations;
- Allocate and free arbitrary memory areas;
- Create any number of threads;

## Attack Vectors —— Summary of Attacks

- **Vector-1** Gathering memory layout information to help to locate safe areas
- **Vector-2** Creating opportunities to probe without crashing the system
- **Vector-3** Reducing the entropy of the randomized safe area locations
- **Vector-4** Monitoring page-table access patterns using cache side channels

# Outline

- Threat Model
- Attack vectors
- Our design
- System Implementation
- Evaluation

# Our Design — SafeHidden

- SafeHidden is proposed to block these attack vectors
  - Mediating all types of probes that may leak the locations
  - Randomizing safe areas upon detecting suspicious probes
  - Isolating the thread-local safe areas
  - Raising security alarms when illegal probes are detected

• **Vector-1** Gathering memory layout information to help to locate safe areas



could always succeed.



Events	Interception Points
memory management system calls	mmap, mprotect, brk,
Syscalls that could return EFAULT	read, write, access, send,
cloning memory space	clone, fork, vfork
memory access instructions	page fault exception

Vector-2 Creating opportunities to probe safe areas without crashing the system



- Vector-3 Reducing the entropy of the randomized safe area locations
- SafeHidden prevents unlimited shrink of unmapped areas and unrestricted growth of safe areas.
  - The maximum size of the mapped area is set to 64 TB.
  - Using thread-private memory mechanism to **isolate** thread-local safe areas.
    - The entropy will not be reduced by thread spraying.
    - Using hardware-assisted virtualization techniques.
    - Each thread will be assigned a thread-private EPT (Extended Page Table).

More Details are in Our Paper

Vector-4 Monitoring page-table access patterns using cache side channels

#### Observation

- It needs hundreds of Prime+Probe or Evict+Time tests.
- It is also imperative that the addresses of the PTEs corresponding to this memory area are not changed.

 $\rightarrow$  The cache entries mapped by these PTEs are not changed.

• Solution: Re-randomization!

• SafeHidden also monitors legal accesses to the safe area that may be triggered by the attacker on purpose.

• Once such a legal access is detected, SafeHidden will randomize the location of the safe area.

• But, how to detect this legal access from the attacker?

 The key step of cache side-channel attack against page table is to force a page table walk.



Image from https://www.vusec.net/projects/anc/

## **Convert TLB Miss to Page Fault Exception**



- When the reserved bit is set, a page fault exception will be triggered during the page table walk.
- SafeHidden sets the reserved bit in all of the PTEs for the safe areas to detect the TLB misses.

— When a TLB miss occurs, it is trapped into the pf handler.

# Flowchart of Page Fault Handler

#### More Details are in Our Paper



# Outline

- Threat Model
- Attack vectors
- Our design
- System Implementation
- Evaluation

# **Architecture Overview**

- SafeHidden is designed as a loadable kernel module.
  - No need to modify the existing defenses.
  - No need to re-compile the OS kernel.

- We integrated a thin hypervisor for a non-virtualized OS.
  - It virtualizes the running OS as the guest without rebooting the system.
  - The other components, called GuestKM, runs in guest kernel.

## **Architecture Overview**



# Outline

- Threat Model
- Attack vectors
- Our design
- System Implementation
- Evaluation

# **Experiment Setup**

#### On X86\_64/Linux Platform

- 3.4GHZ Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-6700 CPU with 4 cores and 16GB RAM.
- Ubuntu 18.04 (Kernel 4.20.3 with KPTI enabled by default)

#### SafeHidden protects two defenses that using IH.

- Shadow stack and O-CFI.
- The %gs is used to point to the safe area.

#### Benchmarks

- **CPU-intensive benchmarks:** SPEC CPU2006 and Multi-threaded Parsec-2.1.
- **Network I/O:** Multiple processes Nginx and Multi-threaded Apache.
- Disk I/O: Bonnie++ benchmark tool.

# Performance Evaluation

#### CPU-intensive benchmarks

- SPEC CPU2006 benchmark with ref input
  - Incurred 2.75% and 2.76% when protecting O-CFI and Shadow Stack.
- Multi-threaded Parsec-2.1 benchmark with native input
  - Incurred 5.78% and 6.44% when protecting O-CFI and Shadow Stack.



# **Performance Evaluation**

#### Network I/O benchmarks

- Apache is configured to work mpm-worker mode (8 threads).
  - Incurred 12.07% and 12.18% when protecting O-CFI and Shadow Stack.
- Nginx is configured to work with 4 worker processes.
  - Incurred 5.35% and 5.51% when protecting O-CFI and Shadow Stack.



# **Performance Evaluation**

#### Disk I/O benchmarks

- Bonnie++ benchmark tool (read and write tests)
  - Incurred 1.76% and 2.18% when protecting O-CFI and Shadow Stack.



# Conclusion

- SafeHidden proposes the re-randomization based IH technique against all known attacks.
- SafeHidden introduces the use of thread-private memory to isolate thread-local safe areas.
  - Using hardware-assisted extended page tables.
- It devises a new technique to detect TLB misses.
  - It is the key trait of cache side-channel attacks against the page tables.



wangzhe12@ict.ac.cn





Figure 3: The probability of being captured by SafeHidden within N probes (a) and the probability of locating the safe areas within N probes successfully (b).

## When to perform randomization?

~ ~	Events	Interceptio	on Points		
[stack]	memory management system calls	mmap, mun	map, mren	nap, mprot	ect, brk
Hidden	syscalls that could return EFAULT	read, write, access, send,			
	cloning memory space	clone, fork, vfork			
	memory access instructions	page fault exception			
[heap] executables libraries	Other Area (OA) Irap Area (TA)	Safe Area	a (SA)	Unmapped (UA)	Area
	E suls	Responses in SafeHidden			
	Events	SA	UA	ТА	OA
	memory management system calls	Alarm	Rand	Alarm	
	, , , ,				
	syscalls that could return EFAULT	Alarm	Rand	Alarm	—
				Alarm Rand	— Rand

### **Thread-private Memory**

• Instead of using the thread-private page table method, we use a thread-private EPT method to avoid the compatible problem.



#### **Thread-private Memory**

• Instead of using the thread-private page table method, we use a thread-private EPT method to avoid the compatible problem.



 KPTI splits the page table for each process into a user-mode page table and a kernel-mode page table.



<ul> <li>PCID is used to avoid the TLB flush during con</li> </ul>	text-switch.
--	--------------

TLB	
PCID	VPN->PFN
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox

 The TLB entry loaded in kernel-mode page table with kPCID cannot be used by user-mode code!



 SafeHidden proposed to bind kernel-mode page table with uPCID temporarily.



TLB	
PCID	VPN->PFN
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox

 SafeHidden proposed to bind kernel-mode page table with uPCID temporarily.



- But some pages related to the	his operation are also loaded.
---------------------------------	--------------------------------

TLB	
PCID	VPN->PFN
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
kPCID	oxsafehidden->ox
uPCID	oxsafearea ->ox

 SafeHidden proposed to bind kernel-mode page table with uPCID temporarily.





To avoid these TLB entries to be exploited by the Meltdown attack, we flush them by using invcpid instructions

## **Reloading TLB Entries after Randomization**

- SafeHidden uses the Intel TSX to test which PTEs of safe areas are loaded in the TLB.
- And then loading them into TLB after randomization to avoid many false alarms of TLB misses.

When MMU walk a poisoned PTE, it will trigger #PF, and then captured by Intel TSX.



## **Information Hiding is Not Secure Any More**

- Recent attacks have made it vulnerable again.
  - Via breaking the **assumptions** of this technique !!!

#### • Rethink the security assumptions of IH :

- 1. Failed guesses could crash the program  $\rightarrow$  Avoid crash
- 2. Safe area is designed very small (high entropy)  $\rightarrow$  Reduce entropy
- 3. Normal accesses will not leak the location  $\rightarrow$  Leak page table structure