August 14th, 2019

A Study of the Feasibility of Co-located App Attacks against BLE

and a

Large-Scale Analysis of the Current Application-Layer Security Landscape

Pallavi Sivakumaran, Jorge Blasco



Centre for Doctoral Training in Cyber Security Information Security Group Royal Holloway University of London Background: Bluetooth Low Energy Data Access and Pairing

Handle	Attribute Type	Attribute Value
•••	•••	•••
0x00AB	Primary Service	Heart Rate Service
0x00AC	Characteristic	Heart Rate Measurement
0x00AD	Heart Rate Measurement	80bpm
0x00AE	Characteristic	Heart Rate Control Point
0x00AF	Heart Rate Control Point	
•••	•••	•••

Handle	Attribute Type	Attribute Value
•••	•••	•••
0x00AB	Primary Service	Heart Rate Service
0x00AC	Characteristic	Heart Rate Measurement
0x00AD	Heart Rate Measurement	80bpm
0x00AE	Characteristic	Heart Rate Control Point
0x00AF	Heart Rate Control Point	
••••	•••	•••

Attributes





Read Request for Handle 0x00AD

("Heart Rate Measurement")

Read Response for Handle 0x00AD = 80bpm



- Permissions
 - Access
 - Authentication (pairing)
 - Authorization





Q1: Can an Unauthorised App Access Protected Data?





Co-located App Data Access Scenario #1























Inside Job: Understanding and Mitigating the Threat of External Device Mis-Bonding on Android

Muhammad Naveed¹, Xiaoyong Zhou², Soteris Demetriou¹, XiaoFeng Wang², Carl A Gunter¹ ¹Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign ²School of Informatics and Computing, Indiana University at Bloomington {naveed2, sdemetr2, cgunter}@illinois.edu, {zhou, xw7}@indiana.edu

Abstract—Today's smartphones can be armed with many types of external devices, such as medical devices and credit card readers, that enrich their functionality and enable them to be used in application domains such as healthcare and retail. This new development comes with new security and privacy challenges. Existing phone-based operating systems, Android in particular, are not ready for protecting authorized use of these external and navigation but also for such critical activities as personal financial management and healthcare. These new applications often rely on the hardware not already built into the smartphone and therefore need an external device to work together with the phone through Bluetooth, Near-Field Communication (NFC) and other channels. A prominent example is smartphone-enabled healthcare devices such as blood-alucose meters [10]



BLE Stack





Co-located App Data Access Scenario #2













GoodApp

needs access to

*	Bluetooth	V
*	Bluetooth Admir	ר ר V
Q	Location	V
$\mathbf{\mathbf{G}}$	Internet	\vee
Google Play		ACCEPT



DENY ALLOW

– First run –

Install time

	GoodApp needs access to)			EvilAp needs access t	•	
	Bluetooth	V	Install time	*	Bluetooth	V	
*	Bluetooth Admin	V	stall		Internet	\vee	
Q	Location	V		6	NFC	\vee	
$\mathbf{\mathbf{S}}$	Internet	\vee		?	Other	\vee	
Goog	le Play AC	CEPT		Goog	le Play	ACCEPT	
Q	Allow GoodApp to access your location DENY AL		- First run —				

- Summary of unauthorised data access scenarios:
 - Scenario #1
 - Malicious app can access data at any time (as long as Bluetooth is on and BLE device is nearby, of course!).
 - Malicious app requires BLUETOOTH, BLUETOOTH_ADMIN, LOCATION permissions (user may view the app as being intrusive).
 - Scenario #2
 - Malicious app can only access data when good app is connected.
 - Malicious app requires only BLUETOOTH permission (activity less visible to user/app appears more benign).

Protecting BLE Data





- Several stakeholders
 - Android (and other OSs)
 - Don't allow multiple apps to share a BLE connection.
 - Associate pairing credentials with the app that triggered pairing?
 - Bluetooth SIG
 - Add application layer protection+modify sensitive profiles. Flexibility?
 - Developers
 - Implement application-layer security ⊗
 - Awareness? (We informed the Android Security Team and the Bluetooth SIG of the need for documentation regarding this issue.)

Q2: What Proportion of Devices Have End-to-End Protection for BLE Data?





- BLECryptracer:
 - Tool to identify the presence of cryptographically-processed
 BLE data.
 - Analyses Android APKs:
 - 1. Use Androguard to obtain smali.
 - 2. Identify BLE data access methods.
 - 3. Perform "slicing" to trace through smali code, and see if we hit cryptographic libraries.
- If cryptographically-processed BLE data is identified,
 BLECryptracer assigns the result a "confidence level":
 - High: If BLE-crypto link is identified via direct register value transfers and/or immediate method invocations.
 - Medium: If BLE-crypto link is identified by considering abstract/interface methods and/or associated registers.
 - Low: If crypto is identified in any instruction within any previously encountered method (originating from BLE data access call).

Access	Tool	Conf. Level	App Set	Detected	ТР	FP	TN	FN	Precision	Recall	F-Measure
Read	Aman- droid	N/A	92	49	44	5	10	33	90%	57%	70%
	BLE Crypt- racer	High	92	62	58	4	11	19	94%	75%	83%
		Med	30	11	7	4	7	12	64%	37%	47%
		Low	19	12	8	4	3	4	67%	67%	67%
Write	Aman- droid	N/A	92	56	49	7	8	28	88%	64%	74%
	BLE Crypt- racer	High	92	50	46	4	11	31	92%	60%	72%
		Med	42	22	19	3	8	12	86%	61%	72%
		Low	20	10	5	5	3	7	50%	42%	45%

- Real-world APKs
 - Executed against 18,929 APKs (from Androzoo) that have

BLE data access calls.

BLECryptracer Results



- Several APKs implement BLE functionality via 3rd party libraries.
 - Beacon, DFU, BLE "helper"/wrappers...
 - BLE writes: 63% APKs solely use libraries.
 - BLE reads: 58% use only libraries.
- App-specific BLE data access methods less likely to incorporate crypto.

% APKs with Cryptographically Processed BLE Data



Medium Low

% APKs with Cryptographically Processed BLE Data



■ High ■ Medium ■ Low

- Cryptographical correctness (CogniCrypt)
 - ECB or other bad mode
 - Hardcoded keys
 - Non-random IVs
 - Incomplete operations

In Summary...

- Pairing-protected attributes on the BLE device can be read and written by any application on the Android device.
- Regardless of pairing method.
- Opportunistic data access enables malicious apps to request fewer permissions than legitimate apps.

- Different stakeholders involved. Difficult to determine responsibility.
- Currently, security is in the hands of developers.
- Almost half of all BLE APKs don't protect BLE reads/writes. Also, bad crypto practices in some that do.
- 70% of "Medical" apps don't protect BLE data.

https://github.com/projectbtle/BLECryptracer

Thank You