AIFM: High-Performance, Application-Integrated Far Memory

Zain (Zhenyuan) Ruan^{*} Malte Schwarzkopf⁺ Marcos K. Aguilera[‡] Adam Belay^{*}

*MIT CSAIL

⁺Brown University

[‡]VMware Research





MWare[®]

In-Memory Applications



Data Analytics



Web Caching



Database



Graph Processing

Memory Is Inelastic

Limited by the server physical boundary.

Memory Is Inelastic

• Limited by the server physical boundary.

> Applications cannot overcommit memory.

Opening a 20GB file for analysis with pandas

Asked 2 years, 8 months ago Active 1 year, 4 months ago Viewed 81k times



I am currently trying to open a file with pandas and python for machine learning purposes it would be ideal for me to have them all in a DataFrame. My RAM is 32 GB. I keep getting memory errors.

Memory Is Inelastic

- Limited by the server physical boundary.
- Applications cannot overcommit memory.

Opening a 20GB file for analysis with pandas

Asked 2 years, 8 months ago Active 1 year, 4 months ago Viewed 81k times

20

I am currently trying to open a file with pandas and python for machine learning purposes it would be ideal for me to have them all in a DataFrame. My RAM is 32 GB. I keep getting memory errors.

➢Expensive solution: overprovision memory for peak usage.

Trending Solution: Far Memory

>Leverage the idle memory of remote servers (with fast network).



➢ Real-world Data Analytics from Kaggle.



• Real-world Data Analytics from Kaggle.

Provision 25% of working set in local mem



- Real-world Data Analytics from Kaggle.
 - Provision 25% of working set in local mem.
- ≻Goal: reclaim the wasted performance.



- Real-world Data Analytics from Kaggle.
 - Provision 25% of working set in local mem.
- ≻Goal: reclaim the wasted performance.



- Real-world Data Analytics from Kaggle.
 - Provision 25% of working set in local mem.
- ≻Goal: reclaim the wasted performance.



Why Do Existing Systems Waste Performance?

- Problem: based on OS paging.
 - Semantic gap.
 - High kernel overheads.

> Page granularity \rightarrow R/W amplification.



 \geq Page granularity \rightarrow R/W amplification.



• Page granularity → R/W amplification.



>OS lacks app knowledge \rightarrow hard to prefetch, etc.

• Page granularity → R/W amplification.



>OS lacks app knowledge \rightarrow hard to prefetch, etc.



Challenge 2: High Kernel Overheads

Challenge 2: High Kernel Overheads

Expensive page faults.



Challenge 2: High Kernel Overheads

- Expensive page faults.
- > Busy Polling for in-kernel net I/O \rightarrow burn CPU cycles.













AIFM's Design Overview

>Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.

AIFM's Design Overview

➢Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.

Challenge	Solution
1. Semantic gap (Amplification, Hard to prefetch)	Remoteable Data structure library
2. Kernel overheads (page faults, busy poll for net I/O)	Userspace runtime
3. Impact of Memory Reclamation (pause app threads)	Pauseless evacuator
4. network BW < DRAM BW	Remote Agent

AIFM in Action

App User-Level Thread 0

Local Memory

➢Solved challenge: semantic gap.



Local Memory

➢Solved challenge: semantic gap.



Local Memory

➢Solved challenge: semantic gap.



Local Memory

Solved challenge: semantic gap.



2. Userspace Runtime

➢Solved challenge: kernel overheads.



2. Userspace Runtime

➢Solved challenge: kernel overheads.



2. Userspace Runtime

➢Solved challenge: kernel overheads.



Local Memory

Solved challenge: impact of memory reclamation.



Local Memory (close to full)

Solved challenge: performance impact of memory reclamation.



Solved challenge: impact of memory reclamation.



Solved challenge: impact of memory reclamation.


3. Pauseless Evacuator

Solved challenge: impact of memory reclamation.



4. Remote Agent

Solved challenge: network BW < DRAM BW.



4. Remote Agent

Solved challenge: network BW < DRAM BW.



4. Remote Agent

Solved challenge: network BW < DRAM BW.



```
std::unordered_map<key_t, int> hashtable;
std::array<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(std::list<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
```

```
sum += hashtable.at(key);
}
```

```
LargeData ret = arr.at(sum);
return ret;
```

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
```

```
sum += hashtable.at(key);
}
```

```
LargeData ret = arr.at(sum);
return ret;
```

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
        DerefScope scope;
        sum += hashtable.at(key, scope);
    }
    DerefScope scope;
    LargeData ret = arr.at(sum, scope);
    return ret;
```

Ensure the accessed objects will not be moved by the evacuator.

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
        DerefScope scope;
        sum += hashtable.at(key, scope);
    }
    DerefScope scope;
    LargeData ret = arr.at</*don't cache*/ true>(sum, scope);
    return ret;
```

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
        DerefScope scope;
        sum += hashtable.at(key, scope);
    }
    DerefScope scope;
    LargeData ret = arr.at</*don't cache*/ true>(sum, scope);
    return ret;
```

Prefetch list data.

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (auto key : keys_list) {
        DerefScope scope;
        sum += hashtable.at(key, scope);
    }
    DerefScope scope;
    LargeData ret = arr.at</*don't cache*/ true>(sum, scope);
    return ret;
}
```

Prefetch list data.

Cache hot objects.

```
RemHashTable<key_t, int> hashtable;
RemArray<LargeData> arr;
```

```
LargeData foo(RemList<key_t> &keys_list) {
int sum = 0;
for (auto key : keys_list) {
DerefScope scope;
sum += hashtable.at(key, scope);
Cache hot objects.
}
DerefScope scope;
LargeData ret = arr.at</*don't cache*/ true>(sum, scope); Avoid polluting local mem.
return ret;
```

>Implemented 6 data structures.

• Array, List, Hashtable, Vector, Stack, and Queue.

- Implemented 6 data structures.
 - Array, List, Hashtable, Vector, Stack, and Queue.
- ➢Runtime is built on top of Shenango [NSDI' 19].

- Implemented 6 data structures.
 - Array, List, Hashtable, Vector, Stack, and Queue.
- Runtime is built on top of Shenango [NSDI' 19].
- ➤TCP far-memory backend.

- Implemented 6 data structures.
 - Array, List, Hashtable, Vector, Stack, and Queue.
- Runtime is built on top of Shenango [NSDI' 19].
- TCP far-memory backend.

►LoC: 6.5K (runtime) + 5.5K (data structures) + 0.8K (Shenango)

Setup: 1 compute server + 1 far memory server, 25 GbE.

- Setup: 1 compute server + 1 far memory server, 25 GbE.
- How does AIFM
 - >... perform on applications with different compute intensities?

- Setup: 1 compute server + 1 far memory server, 25 GbE.
- How does AIFM
 - ... perform on applications with different compute intensities?
 - ➤... compare to the local-only (ideal) system?

- Setup: 1 compute server + 1 far memory server, 25 GbE.
- How does AIFM
 - ... perform on applications with different compute intensities?
 - ... compare to the local-only (ideal) system?
 - ➤... compare to the state-of-the-art paging system, Fastswap [EuroSys' 20]?













>DataFrame: data analytical framework, similar to Python Pandas.

- DataFrame: data analytical framework, similar to Python Pandas.
- ► Real Kaggle workload
 - Working set size = 31 GB.
 - Modify 1.4K LoC (out of 24.3K LoC), five person-days.

- DataFrame: data analytical framework, similar to Python Pandas.
- Real Kaggle workload
 - Working set size = 31 GB.
 - Modify 1.4K LoC (out of 24.3K LoC), five person-days.
- \geq Relatively low compute intensity \rightarrow Unable to hide far-mem latency.

- DataFrame: data analytical framework, similar to Python Pandas.
- Real Kaggle workload
 - Working set size = 31 GB.
 - Modify 1.4K LoC (out of 24.3K LoC), five person-days.
- Relatively low compute intensity \rightarrow Unable to hide far-mem latency.
- >Keep complex operations local and **offload** very light operations.
 - Significantly reduces expensive data transfer over network.







AIFM achieves near-ideal performance with small local memory.



AIFM achieves near-ideal performance with small local memory.



AIFM achieves near-ideal performance with small local memory.

Other Experiments

- Synthetic web frontend: up to **13X end-to-end** speedup.
- Data structures microbenchmarks: up to **61X** speedup.
- Design Drill-Down.

Read our paper for details.

Related Work

- OS-paging systems.
 - Fastswap [EuroSys' 20], Leap [ATC' 20]
- Distributed shared memory.
 - Treadmarks [IEEE Computer' 96]
- Garbage collection (GC).

► AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.

• AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.

>Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.

- AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.
- Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.
 Data Structure Library: captures application semantics.

- AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.
- Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.
 - Data Structure Library: captures application semantics.
 - ➤Userspace Runtime: efficiently manages objects and memory.

- AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.
- Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.
 - Data Structure Library: captures application semantics.
 - Userspace Runtime: efficiently manages objects and memory.
- >Achieves 13X end-to-end speedup over Fastswap.

- AIFM: Application-Integrated Far Memory.
- Key idea: swap memory using a userspace runtime.
 - Data Structure Library: captures application semantics.
 - Userspace Runtime: efficiently manages objects and memory.
- Achieves 13X end-to-end speedup over Fastswap.
- Code released at <u>https://github.com/AIFM-sys/AIFM</u>

Please send your questions to us zainruan@csail.mit.edu