# zkLedger Privacy-preserving auditing for distributed ledgers

Neha Narula Willy Vasquez Madars Virza

mit media digital currency initiative

### Structure of the financial system



- Dozens of large investment banks
- Trading:
  - Securities
  - Currencies
  - Commodities
  - Derivatives
- 40% unregulated
- Trillions of dollars
- Tens of trades/minute

Financial Investments Regulatory Authority on OTC markets

### A ledger records financial transactions

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount	
90	\$	Citibank	Goldman Sachs	1,000,000 sig	
91	€	JP Morgan	UBS	200,000 sig	
92	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	3,000,000 sig	



### Can verify important financial invariants

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
90	\$	Citibank	Goldman Sachs	1,000,000 sig
91	€	JP Morgan	UBS	200,000 sig
92	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	3,000,000 sig
	Ex	amining ledg	Has a	ent to transfer ssets to transfer s neither created nor oyed

### Banks care about privacy

Trades reveal sensitive strategy information

# Verifying invariants are maintained with privacy

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount	
90	\$	Citibank	Goldman Sachs	1,000,000 sig	
91	€	JP Morgan	UBS	200,000 sig	
92	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	3,000,000 sig	

#### <u>Verify</u>

Consent to transfer Has assets to transfer Assets neither created nor destroyed

# Verifying invariants are maintained with privacy



Zerocash (zk-SNARKs) [S&P 2014] Solidus (PVORM) [CCS 2017] Verify
 Consent to transfer
 Has assets to transfer
 Assets neither created nor destroyed

# Problem

Regulators need insight into markets to maintain financial stability and protect investors

- Leverage
- Exposure
- Overall market concentration



#### How to confidently audit banks to determine risk?



#### zkLedger

A private, auditable transaction ledger

- **Privacy:** Hides transacting banks and amounts
- Integrity with public verification: Everyone can verify transactions are well-formed
- Auditing: Compute provably-correct linear functions
   over transactions

# Outline

- System model
- zkLedger design
  - Hiding commitments
  - Ledger table format
  - Zero-knowledge proofs
- Evaluation

# Outline

- System model
- zkLedger design
  - Hiding commitments
  - Ledger table format
  - Zero-knowledge proofs
- Evaluation

#### zkLedger system model



#### An auditor can obtain correct answers on ledger contents



### Measurements zkLedger supports

- Ratios and percentages of holdings
- Sums, averages, variance, skew
- Outliers
- Approximations and orders of magnitude
- Changes over time
- Well-known financial risk measurements (Herfindahl-Hirschmann index)



### Security goals

Privacy	<ul> <li>The auditor and non-involved parties cannot see transaction participants or amounts</li> </ul>
Completeness	<ul> <li>Banks cannot lie to the auditor or omit transactions</li> </ul>
Integrity	<ul> <li>Banks cannot violate financial invariants</li> <li>Honest banks can always convince the auditor of a correct answer</li> </ul>
Progress	<ul> <li>A malicious bank cannot block other banks from transacting</li> </ul>

## Threat model

Banks might attempt to steal or hide assets, manipulate balances, or lie to the auditor

Banks can arbitrarily collude

Banks or the auditor might try to learn transaction contents

Out of scope:

A ledger that omits transactions or is unavailable An adversary watching network traffic Banks leaking their own transactions

# Outline

- System model
- zkLedger design
  - Hiding commitments
  - Ledger table format
  - Zero-knowledge proofs
- Evaluation

## Example public transaction ledger

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
1	€	Depositor	Goldman Sachs	30,000,000
2	€	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	10,000,000
3	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	1,000,000
4	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	2,000,000

### Depositor injects assets to the ledger

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
1	€	Depositor	Goldman Sachs	30,000,000
2	€	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	10,000,000
3	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	1,000,000
4	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	2,000,000

# Goals: auditing + privacy

ID	Asset	From		То	Amount
1	€	Depositor		Goldman Sachs	30,000,000
2	€	Goldman Sachs		JP Morgan	10,000,000
3	€	JP Morgan		Barclays	1,000,000
4	€	JP Morgan		Barclays	2,000,000

#### **Goals:**

- Provably audit Barclays to find Euro holdings
- Hide participants, amounts, and transaction graph

### Hide amounts with commitments

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
1	€	Depositor	Goldman Sachs	30M
2	€	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	comm(10M)
3	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(1M)
4	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(2M) ×

#### Pedersen commitments

Bank creates comm(v) =  $g^{v}h^{r}$ 

#### Important properties

- Binding
- Homomorphically combined
- Fast

Can achieve all auditing functions with Pedersen Commitments! (see paper) = comm(13M)

#### Hide participants with other techniques

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
1	€	Depositor	Goldman Sachs	30M
2	€	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	comm(10M)
3	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(1M)
4	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(2M)

# Strawman: audit by opening up combined commitments



#### A malicious bank could omit transactions



#### A malicious bank could omit transactions

ID	Asset	From	То	Amount
1	€	Depositor	Goldman Sachs	30M
2	€	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	comm(10M)
3	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(1M)
4	€	JP Morgan	Barclays	comm(2M)

# zkLedger design: an entry for every bank in every transaction

ID	Asset	Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan	Barclays
1	€	Depositor, Goldman	Sachs, 30M	
2	€	comm(-10M)	comm(10M)	comm(0)
3	€	comm(0)	comm(-1M)	comm(1M)
4	€	comm(0)	comm(-2M)	comm(2M)

Depositor transactions are public

Spender's column commits to negative value, receiver's positive value For non-involved banks, entries commit to 0 Indistinguishable from commitments to non-zero values

#### Key insight: auditor audits every transaction



# A malicious bank can't produce a proof for a different answer



#### Security goals

The auditor and non-involved parties cannot see Privacy transaction participants, amounts, or transaction graph Completeness Banks cannot lie to the auditor or omit transactions Banks cannot violate financial invariants ۲ Integrity Honest banks can always convince the auditor of a correct answer A malicious bank **cannot block** other banks from ۲ Progress transacting

# Non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs (NIZKs)

- Short, binary strings
- True statements have proofs
- False statements only have proofs with negligible probability
- Proofs don't reveal why they are true

#### Achieving integrity and progress using NIZKs

- Transaction validity
  - Consent to transfer
  - Have assets to transfer
  - Assets neither create nor destroyed
- Honest banks can make progress
  - Non-interactive



**Consistency NIZK** 

See paper for details

# Outline

- System model
- zkLedger design
  - Hiding commitments
  - Ledger table format
  - Zero-knowledge proofs
- Evaluation

## Implementation

- zkLedger written in Go
- Elliptic curve library: btcec, secp256k1
- ~4000 loc

## Evaluation

- How fast is auditing?
- How does zkLedger scale with the number of banks?

Experiments on 12 4 core Intel Xeon 2.5Ghz VMs, 24 GB RAM

# Simple auditing is fast and independent of ledger size



Auditing 4 banks measuring market concentration

# More complex forms of auditing are linear in size of ledger



Auditing 4 banks measuring market concentration

#### Processing transactions scales linearly



One bank creating transactions. Includes ledger, auditor, and other banks verifying 38

## Cost in a transaction per bank

- Entry size: 4.5KB
- Creating an entry: 8ms

× # banks

• Verifying an entry: 7ms

Highly parallelizable

Significant opportunities for compression and speedup

## **Related Work**

#### No private auditing

- Confidential Assets [FC 2017]
- Zerocash [S&P 2014]

#### Cannot guarantee completeness

- Privacy-preserving methods for sharing financial risk exposures [2011]
- Provisions [CCS 2015]

Solidus [CCS 2017]

Our techniques might apply

Accountable privacy for decentralized anonymous payments [FC 2016]

Design for policy enforcement, not auditing

## Conclusion

# zkLedger provides practical privacy and complete auditing on transaction ledgers

zkledger.org