Full Duplex MIMO Radios

Dinesh Bharadia and Sachin Katti Stanford University









Self-Interference is a hundred billion times (110dB+) stronger than the received signal







- LTE 2X2 Spectral Efficiency is 1.42 bits/sec/Hz
- Spectra Efficiency Doubled over last decade



- LTE 2X2 Spectral Efficiency is 1.42 bits/sec/Hz
- Spectra Efficiency Doubled over last decade



- LTE 2X2 Spectral Efficiency is 1.42 bits/sec/Hz
- Spectra Efficiency Doubled over last decade
- Full Duplex provides a step jump



- LTE 2X2 Spectral Efficiency is 1.42 bits/sec/Hz
- Spectra Efficiency Doubled over last decade
- Full Duplex provides a step jump

Spectral efficiency gains over last decade mostly from MIMO \rightarrow For full duplex to be viable, need to work with MIMO



Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

 Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

- Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor
- Low complexity cancellation design

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

- Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor
- Low complexity cancellation design

Prototype 3x3 MIMO full duplex radios using off-the-shelf WiFi radios

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

- Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor
- Low complexity cancellation design

Prototype 3x3 MIMO full duplex radios using off-the-shelf WiFi radios

 Experimental indoor evaluation which demonstrates that our design practically achieves close to the 2x theoretically expected throughput gain

Why can not we use the SISO design to implement MIMO full duplex?



4/25/2014





4/25/2014









4/25/2014







Full duplex designs are conceptually realizing an adaptive filter that closely matches the environmental

- Complexity: The number of filter taps needed to closely match the environmental reflection response.
 - Higher number of taps \rightarrow More analog circuit area, more FPGA gates
 - Less is better

- Complexity: The number of filter taps needed to closely match the environmental reflection response.
 - Higher number of taps \rightarrow More analog circuit area, more FPGA gates
 - Less is better
- Interference residue: Amount of interference left uncancelled, depends on the accuracy of the filter in modeling all reflections → lower is better

- Complexity: The number of filter taps needed to closely match the environmental reflection response.
 - Higher number of taps \rightarrow More analog circuit area, more FPGA gates
 - Less is better
- Interference residue: Amount of interference left uncancelled, depends on the accuracy of the filter in modeling all reflections → lower is better

	SISO Full Duplex	Implications
Analog Cancellation taps	12	6x6 inches board
Digital Cancellation taps	132	295 DSP 48 Logic
Interference Residue	1dB over noise floor	Almost optimal full duplex
Key Metrics for SISO Full Duplex Design

Cancellation filters are characterized by two key metrics

- Complexity: The number of filter taps needed to closely match the environmental reflection response.
 - Higher number of taps \rightarrow More analog circuit area, more FPGA gates
 - Less is better
- Interference residue: Amount of interference left uncancelled, depends on the accuracy of the filter in modeling all reflections → lower is better

	SISO Full Duplex	Implications
Analog Cancellation taps	12	6x6 inches board
Digital Cancellation taps	132	295 DSP 48 Logic
Interference Residue	1dB over noise floor	Almost optimal full duplex

Goal for any full duplex design: Minimize interference residue to the noise floor with the lowest complexity cancellation filter













































MIMO full duplex has quadratically more number of signals to cancel because of the presence of cross

toll

Why not replicate the SISO full duplex design to cancel all the self-talk and cross-talk components for MIMO?









Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design


Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



Naïve Solution: Replicates SISO design



















Why does the increase in cancellation residue matter?

Throughput performance of SISO replication design



Why does the increase in cancellation residue matter?

Throughput performance of SISO replication design



Why does the increase in cancellation residue matter?

Throughput performance of SISO replication design



Ideal Solution:

- Cancellation filter complexity scales linearly with number of antennas (M)
- Cancellation Residue same as SISO and should not be impacted by number of MIMO antennas

Technical Contributions

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

 Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor

Prototype 3x3 MIMO full duplex radios using off-the-shelf WiFi radios

 Experimental indoor evaluation which demonstrates that our design practically achieves close to the 2x theoretically expected throughput gain

Technical Contributions

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

- Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor
- Cancellation complexity scales linearly with M (number of antennas).

Prototype 3x3 MIMO full duplex radios using off-the-shelf WiFi radios

 Experimental indoor evaluation which demonstrates that our design practically achieves close to the 2x theoretically expected throughput gain

Technical Contributions

Designed & implemented a near-ideal MIMO in-band full duplex radio

- Hybrid (analog & digital) cross and self-talk cancellation circuits & algorithms that completely eliminate interference to the noise floor
- Cancellation complexity scales linearly with M (number of antennas).
- Cancellation residue is the same as the SISO full duplex, i.e. it does not degrade with increasing number of antennas.

Prototype 3x3 MIMO full duplex radios using off-the-shelf WiFi radios

 Experimental indoor evaluation which demonstrates that our design practically achieves close to the 2x theoretically expected throughput gain

Key Idea: Reducing Complexity



Key Idea: Reducing Complexity H_{11} Circulator Circulator $\overset{\pmb{\Lambda}}{\textbf{H}}_{11}$ Σ Cancellation filter RX2 TX2 TX1 RX1

Key Idea: Reducing Complexity





Can we reuse the self talk cancellation filter to also cancel the cross talk ?



- Share Environment
- Share Reflectors



- Share Environment
- Share Reflectors
- Self talk and cross talk transfer function are related as they undergo similar environment.



- Share Environment
- Share Reflectors
- Self talk and cross talk transfer function are related as they undergo similar environment.
- A lot of work for modeling self-talk is already done, we need to create only the difference in self talk and cross talk.



- Share Environment
- Share Reflectors
- Self talk and cross talk transfer function are related as they undergo similar environment.
- A lot of work for modeling self-talk is already done, we need to create only the difference in self talk and cross talk.



 $H_{21}(f) = Hcas_{cade}(f) \cdot H_{11}(f)$

- Share Environment
- Share Reflectors
- Self talk and cross talk transfer function are related as they undergo similar environment.
- A lot of work for modeling self-talk is already done, we need to create only the difference in self talk and cross talk.



$H_{21}(f) = Hcas_{cade}(f) \cdot H_{11}(f)$

Can we leverage this relationship to reduce the cancellation complexity





 Cascade Transfer • Collect cross talk and self talk for various indoor Function environments



- Cascade Transfer
 Collect cross talk and self talk for various indoor Function
 environments
- Learning
 Algorithm
 From all the possible cascade response, calculate via optimization the best low complexity circuit which achieves the cascade transfer function (offline analysis)
- Complexity
 Reduction
- These cascade circuits are very low complexity, thus allowing us to get close to linear complexity

Reducing Complexity: Cascaded Cancellation












Total Taps: N+ C + D, for Chain 1 General Complexity per chain: ~N << M.N























































Goal: Build 3X3 MIMO full duplex radio using commodity software radios.



Goal: Build 3X3 MIMO full duplex radio using commodity software radios.

- Maxim transceiver
- Challenge: Extremely high transmitter noise and nonlinearities
- 20MHz BW (transceiver limitation)
- 20 dBm max TX power
- WiFi 802.11n PHY



Goal: Build 3X3 MIMO full duplex radio using commodity software radios.

- Maxim transceiver
- Challenge: Extremely high transmitter noise and nonlinearities
- 20MHz BW (transceiver limitation)
- 20 dBm max TX power
- WiFi 802.11n PHY



 Indoor noisy office environment

 Indoor noisy office environment



- Indoor noisy office environment
- Tunes to environmental changes within 8us, needs to be re-tuned every 60 ms



Prototype implementation completely cancels interference to noise floor for a 3x3 MIMO radio

Evaluation Q2: Does it have linear Complexity Scaling ?

Resource Comparison between SISO replication and our design

	SISO replication design	Our design
Analog Cancellation taps (3X3)	108	56 (reduced by 1.92x)
Digital Cancellation taps (3X3)	1188	485 (reduced by 2.45x)
Tuning time (3X3)	9 ms	.024 ms (reduced by 375x)

Evaluation Q2: Does it have linear Complexity Scaling ?

Resource Comparison between SISO replication and our design

	SISO replication design	Our design
Analog Cancellation taps (3X3)	108	56 (reduced by 1.92x)
Digital Cancellation taps (3X3)	1188	485 (reduced by 2.45x)
Tuning time (3X3)	9 ms	.024 ms (reduced by 375x)

Complexity of both Analog and Digital Cancellation, scales linearly as number of antennas increases

Evaluation Q4: Does that translate to doubling of throughput in practice?



Evaluation Q4: Does that translate to doubling of throughput in practice?



Evaluation Q4: Does that translate to doubling of throughput in practice?



Our design practically achieves the theoretical throughput doubling

Conclusion

- Design and implementation of a near-ideal complexity and performance full duplex MIMO radio
 - Shows that full duplex and MIMO can operate concurrently
- Has applications to many other problems
 Radio slicing, backscatter, imaging etc
- Many implications for MAC layer design

– Feedback, beamforming, MU-MIMO