#### Virtual Switching Without a Hypervisor for a More Secure Cloud

#### Xin Jin Princeton University

Joint work with Eric Keller(UPenn) and Jennifer Rexford(Princeton)





# Public Cloud Infrastructure

- Cloud providers offer computing resources on demand to multiple "tenants"
- Benefits:
  - Public (any one can use)
  - Economies of scale (lower cost)
  - Flexibility (pay-as-you-go)







# Server Virtualization

- Multiple VMs run on the same server
- Benefits
  - Efficient use of server resources
  - Backward compatibility
- Examples
  - Xen
  - KVM
  - VMware



# **Network Virtualization**

- Software switches
  - Run in the hypervisor or the control VM (Dom0)
- Benefits: Flexible control at the "edge"
  - Access control
  - Resource and name space isolation
  - Efficient communication between co-located VMs
- Examples
  - Open vSwitch
  - VMware's vSwitch
  - Cisco's Nexus 1000v Switch





# Security: a major impediment for moving to the cloud!

# Let's take a look at where the vulnerabilities are...

#### Vulnerabilities in Server Virtualization



- The hypervisor is quite complex
- Large amount of code —> Bugs (NIST's National Vulnerability Database)

#### Vulnerabilities in Server Virtualization



• The hypervisor is an attack surface (bugs, vulnerable)

-> Malicious customers attack the hypervisor

#### Vulnerabilities in Network Virtualization



- Software switch in control VM (Dom0)
- Hypervisor is involved in communication

#### Vulnerabilities in Network Virtualization



• Software switch is coupled with the control VM

—> e.g., software switch crash can lead to a complete system crash



- Disaggregate control VM (Dom0) into smaller, single-purpose and independent components
- Malicious customer can still attack hypervisor<sup>1</sup>

#### NoHype [ISCA'10, CCS'11]



- Pre-allocating memory and cores
- Using hardware virtualized I/O devices
  - Hypervisor is only used to boot up and shut down guest VMs.

- Eliminate the hypervisor attack surface
- What if I want to use a software switch?

# Software Switching in NoHype



- Bouncing packets through the physical NIC
- Consumes excessive bandwidth on PCI bus and the physical NIC!

#### **Our Solution Overview**



- Eliminate the hypervisor attack surface
- Enable software switching in an efficient way

#### Eliminate the Hypervisor-Guest Interaction



- Shared memory
  - Two FIFO buffers for communication
- Polling only
  - Do not use event channel; no hypervisor involvement

#### Limit Damage From a Compromised Switch



- Decouple software switch from Dom0

   Introduce a Switch Domain (DomS)
- Decouple software switch from the hypervisor
   Eliminate the hypervisor attack surface

### **Preliminary Prototype**



- Prototype based on
  - Xen 4.1: used to boot up/shut down VMs
  - Linux 3.1: kernel module to implement polling/FIFO
  - Open vSwitch 1.3

# **Preliminary Evaluation**



- Evaluate the throughput between DomS and a guest VM, compared with native Xen
- Traffic measurement: Netperf
- Configuration: each VM has 1 core and 1GB of RAM

#### **Evaluation on Throughput**

- FIFO Size
  - Polling period is fixed to 1ms
  - Reach high throughput with just 256 FIFO pages (Only 1MB)
- Polling Period
  - Shorter polling period, higher throughput
  - CPU resource consumption?
    - —> Future work





#### Comparison with Native Xen



- Outperforms native Xen when message size is smaller than 8 KB.
- Future work: incorporate more optimization

# **Conclusion and Future Work**

- Trend towards software switching in the cloud
- Security in hypervisor and Dom0 is a big concern
- Improve security by enabling software switching without hypervisor involvement

- Future work
  - Detection and remediation of DomS compromise

#### Thanks!

Q&A