Georgia Tech

CREATING THE NEXT

Toward Loosely Coupled Orchestration for the LEO Satellite Edge

Vaibhav Bhosale Ketan Bhardwaj Ada Gavrilovska

LEO Satellites

SpaceX Launches 60 Starlink Satellites, Nails Rocket Landing in Record-Breaking Flight

By Amy Thompson January 07, 2020

SpaceX now operates more satellites than any other company. Thousands more will follow.

SpaceX launches 58 more Starlink satellites in Saturday ride-share mission

For its third launch in two weeks, Elon Musk's rocket company sends more Starlink satellites and three Planet Labs satellites to orbit.



Image Credits: Space.com, Cnet.com, SpaceX



Why LEO Satellite Edge?

- Intuitive edge advantages over bent-pipe
 Bent-pipe incurs two RTTs for each request
- Easily be extended to many current satellite applications
- LEO edge can help with economic feasibility and broaden the use





Need for a New Orchestration Stack for LEO Edge

- Most of the currently commissioned satellites serve a single mission
- Availability of the LEO Satellites is tied to its economic feasibility
 - Support on-demand, multi-tenant workloads at LEO Edge
 - Dynamic configuration & deployment at LEO Edge
 - Same usage model & inter-operation as terrestrial edge





Current LEO Satellite Ecosystem



Current LEO Satellite Ecosystem



Design Goals of a LEO Edge Orchestrator

Incorporating mobility of the LEO edge



Using the terrestrial orchestration stack



Using the terrestrial orchestration stack



Just-in-time Orchestration



Design Goals of a LEO Edge Orchestrator

✓ Incorporating mobility of the LEO edge

Leveraging periodicity in LEO edge mobility



Sub-optimal handoffs



Design Goals of a LEO Edge Orchestrator

- ✓Incorporating mobility of the LEO edge
- Leveraging periodicity in LEO edge mobility
- Compensating for error in mobility prediction of LEO satellites





Just-ahead-oftime orchestration



Design Goals of a LEO Edge Orchestrator

✓ Incorporating mobility of the LEO edge
 ✓ Leveraging periodicity in LEO edge mobility
 ✓ Compensating for error in mobility prediction of LEO satellites
 ✓ Compensating app initialization overhead in terrestrial orchestration



Unique LEO Edge Orchestration Challenges

- Mobility of the LEO edge
- Inaccurate position prediction

Further amplified due to the tight coupling between existing orchestrators & the underlying infrastructure

Holds true for any other non-stationary edge infrastructure as well



Krios: Loosely Coupled Orchestrator for LEO Edge

Key Idea: Make orchestration decisions "just ahead of time"

Thus, loosen coupling b/w orchestration & LEO infrastructure using

• Path projection models, cluster affinity chains and temporal compensation



18

CREATING THE NEXT

Preliminary Evaluation

Experimental Setup:

- Emulated LEO edge
- SGP4 path model used

Evaluation Goal: How does loose coupling help in LEO edge?

- Impact on expected downtime (availability)
- Benefits of state handling and state transfer

Two kinds of workloads at LEO edge

- Stateless: Nginx service
- Stateful: Full Wikipedia web cache



Downtime during Application Handoff

Krios can completely eliminate downtime

- Works with no changes to Kubernetes
 - Developers use it for LEO Edge as well

Other results showing benefit for stateful edge functions in the paper



20

CREATING THE NEX

A clear signal for "new research" for & at LEO Edge

Next Steps: Comprehensive evaluation & extension of current Krios for larger constellations with high fidelity experimental setup

Need help / Want collaboration

- Actual details / access to LEO satellite(s): hardware, software, platforms
- Details / access to ground stations for experiments & testing



Georgia Tech

CREATING THE NEXT

THANK YOU

For any follow-up questions, you can reach us at: vbhosale6@gatech.edu ketanbj@gatech.edu ada@cc.gatech.edu