A Case for Packing and Indexing in Cloud File Systems

Saurabh Kadekodi, Bin Fan*, Adit Madan*, Garth Gibson and Greg Ganger

Parallel Data Laboratory, *Alluxio Inc. Carnegie Mellon University

In a nutshell

- Cloud object stores have a per-operation pricing structure
- Small object workloads have poor performance and high cost



Carnegie Mellon Parallel Data Laboratory

Cloud file systems



- Natural approach is 1:1 file to object mapping; bad for small files
- Packing (batching or coalescing) small files improves local FS performance
- How much does packing help in cloud file systems?

Motivation





Parallel Data Laboratory







Throughput By Object Size



S3 Throttling in Action



- Request rate throttling by S3 for 4KiB writes
- S3 warns routinely requesting >100 PUT req / s subject to throttling
- NW bandwidth of r4.4xlarge instances ~ 10 Gb / sec -> minimum 13MB writes to avoid being throttled -> packing

Motivation



Price Motivation

Standard\$0.05 / 10K reg\$0.04 / 100K regFree (for certain data\$0.023 / GB-month		53	S3 PUT, COPY, POS		GET			Data Retr	ieval	Data Storage	
center locations)		Standard	andard \$0.05 / 10K req		\$0.04 / 100K rec			(for certain data		\$0.023 / GB-month	
1400 Operation cost E Storage				1400			Орен	ration c	ost 📕 Storage	cost	
 Say we want to store 1050 Percentage of operation costs 	•	1TB per month as 4KiB			1050		ŀ	0			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					700		ŀ				
req/s for a month 350 98% Nil 16% <0.001%		req/s for a month			350	98	%	Nil	16	5% <0.001%	
0				0							
S3 EFS DynamoDB Packing Data indext methodology					S				Ŭ		

Data ingest methodology

Price Motivation

	S 3	PUT, COPY, POST		GET			Data Retr	Data Storage	е	
	Standard	\$0.05 / 10K req		\$0.04 / 100K req			Free (for certain center loca	n data	\$0.023 / GB-month	
			1400			Oper	ration	cost 📕 Storage	C	
•	Say we want to store			1050				Percentage of data storage costs		
	1TB per month as 4KiB objects, approx 100 req/s for a month		Price (\$)	700						
				350		2%	100%	84	4% >99.999%)
				0						
						S3	EFS	Dyna	moDB Packing	
							Data indes	t metho	odology	

Data ingest methodology

Price Motivation

	S 3	PUT, COPY, POST	GE	Г	Data Retrieval	Data Storage		
	Standard	\$0.05 / 10K req	\$0.04 100K		Free (for certain data center locations)	\$0.023 / GB-month		
			1400		Operation o	ost 📕 Storage o	cost	
•	Say we v	1050		Percentage of data storage costs				
	1TB per l objects, a	Price (\$)		equal to E	Packing cost split almost equal to EFS, but EFS storage cost >10x S3 storage costs			
	req/s for a month		350	2%	100% 84	>99.999%		
		0						
				S3	EFS Dynai	moDB Packing		

Data ingest methodology











A Packed Blob



Blob Extent: *alluxio-path:logical-offset:physical-offset:length*

- Packing policy determines what to pack
- Triggered by dirty bytes & timeout

Evaluation

Carnegie Mellon Parallel Data Laboratory















3.2M files of 8KiB = 24.4GB

Packing Configuration

- Max blob size: 1 GB
- Packing interval: 5 sec
- # Packing threads: 16
- # Master threads: 16
- Backup interval: 1 min



Motivation Revisited



Carnegie Mellon Parallel Data Laboratory

Write Performance Comparison



Motivation Revisited



S3 Data Ingest Price



Request rate is throttled much more than data rate

Research questions (feedback)

- Price with packing = $\frac{1}{25000}$ price without packing
 - Will fine-tuning help? By how much?
 - Are there workload specific tuning opportunities / challenges?
- Garbage collection of packed blobs
 - Different from LSM Trees, LFS, TableFS, etc.
 - Cost-driven GC policies?
 - Interference with foreground workload?

Conclusion



- Price reduction because of:
 - Matching application write sizes to storage system write sizes
 - Elimination of retries due to aggressive rate-limiting imposed by S3-like services

saukad@cs.cmu.edu