How Not to Bid the Cloud

Prateek Sharma, David Irwin, Prashant Shenoy

UMassAmherst

HotCloud 2016

Transient Servers in the Cloud

- Conventional cloud servers: on-demand servers
 - Fixed price, user-controlled life-span
- Cloud operators sell surplus capacity as low-cost transient servers:
 - Can be revoked at any time
 - EC2 Spot instances, GCE Pre-emptible VMs
- 70-90% lower costs \Rightarrow attractive for batch and delay tolerant applications

Bidding in EC2 Spot Markets

- Spot prices set by continuous second-price auction
- Users place a bid representing their maximum hourly price
- Spot price rises above bid \Rightarrow Server revoked after 2 minute warning



- Bidding strategies important to optimize cost, availability
- Zheng et.al. [SigComm '15], Zafer et.al., Tang et.al. [Cloud '12]
- What is the impact of bidding on availability and cost?

Talk outline

- Motivation: spot markets and bidding
- Comprehensive empirical analysis of effect of bidding
- Beyond bidding

Methodology

- Spot price traces published by Amazon
- Use spot price traces from March-October 2015
- 1500 markets : 8 geographic region, ~2 availability zones, 15 server types, 3 operating systems
 - Prior work is restricted to developing bidding strategies for a few (~10) markets
- Metrics: Availability, Cost, Mean time between revocations

Availability

• Availability : fraction of time for which spot price less than bid price



- Spot prices mostly low, with occasional large spikes
- High availability for wide range of bids

Cost

- Cost of spot instances (relative to on-demand price) at different bid prices
- Costs determined by spot prices, not the bid-price itself



- No cost penalty for high bid prices
- Cost not particularly sensitive to bidding

Mean Time Between Revocations

- Mean time between revocations : how long applications can run uninterrupted
- MTBR≠Availability : Short, frequent spikes cause low MTBR



Revocations are unavoidable if prices spike too high

Impact of Bidding

- Availability, Cost, MTBR not particularly sensitive to bidding
- Low-cost, highly available spot servers for wide range of bids

Do we need sophisticated bidding strategies?

Analyzing 1500 markets

 Percentage of all bids that yield availability, cost, MTBR that are 10% within the optimal



- In the current spot markets, bidding has negligible impact
- Different bidding strategies yield same practical end-result

Beyond Bidding

- Look beyond bidding and focus on systems problems •
- Simple strategy: Bid the on-demand price, migrate when revoked ullet
 - Requires efficient migration and checkpointing
- Avoid simultaneous revocations by using multiple markets lacksquare
 - Revocation gap: time difference between revocations in two markets ullet



- Many markets have large revocation gaps (>24 hours)
- "Independent" failures
- Distribute applications, migrate to uncorrelated markets

Conclusion

- Spot instances : auction based pricing
- Empirically study effect of bidding on cost, availability, and failure-rates
- Large range of bids have same effect \Rightarrow bidding is not crucial
- Sophisticated bidding strategies do not outperform simple ones
- Simple bidding strategies and using mutually uncorrelated markets : easier and practical alternative
- Beyond bidding: fault-tolerance and market selection

Thank You

prateeks@cs.umass.edu

Why bidding strategies are not crucial

- Wide range of optimal bids
- Resources always available
- No penalty for high bids

When will bidding be relevant?

- Availability, cost CDFs not long tailed
- More penalty for bidding too high
- Higher market volatility
- Users and systems exploiting arbitraging opportunities
- Still need systems to handle the transiency gracefully



Spot market volatility over the years

• m1.large price range and skewness





