# **Don't Lose Sleep Over Availability**: The GreenUp Decentralized Wakeup Service



#### Siddhartha Sen, Princeton University

Jacob R. Lorch, Richard Hughes, Carlos G. J. Suarez, Brian Zill, Weverton Cordeiro, and Jitendra Padhye









#### users, IT admins





#### users, IT admins



Despite the cloud, this is a common scenario





































# Dedicated servers are a problem

- High deployment and management cost
- Single point of failure



# Dedicated servers are a problem

- High deployment and management cost
- Single point of failure
- High availability becomes expensive!



**GreenUp**: A decentralized, minimal software-only sleep proxy

# **GreenUp**: A decentralized, minimal software-only sleep proxy

Any machine can act as a proxy (manager) for sleeping machines on the subnet

# Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?

# Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?



Distributed	
management	

Machine	State

Subnet state coordination



Guardians

# Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?



Machine	State



Distributed management

Subnet state coordination

Guardians

- 3. How effective is GreenUp?
  - Evaluation on ~100 user machines, currently deployed on ~1,100 machines

# GreenUp's environment

- Subnet domains
- Load-sensitive, unreliable machines
- Single administrative domain
- Availability most important









# Distributed management: Who manages M9?



# Distributed management: Who manages M9?



• Wait for notification?


- Wait for notification?
  - No guarantees before sleep
  - M1 failure abandons M8



- Wait for notification?
  - No guarantees before sleep
  - M1 failure abandons M8
- Probe randomly, repeat since machines unreliable
- Load-sensitive machines, so distribute probing
  - Robust to manager issues





#### # awake machines











 $(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$ 

### # awake machines



 $(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$ 

### # awake machines



 $p = \Pr(\text{machine probed})$   $(n - m_i) \ln(\frac{1}{1 - p})$ 

# awake machines

• Coupon collector analysis





# awake machines

• Coupon collector analysis



 $(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$ 

### # awake machines



 $(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$ 

### # awake machines



 $(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$ 

# awake machines

Availability most important



$$(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$$

# awake machines

- Availability most important
- Simple resolution protocol



$$(n-m_i)\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)$$

# awake machines

- Availability most important
- Simple resolution protocol







 Induction analysis: equivalent to balls-in-bins!

$$\frac{\ln(n/2)}{\ln\ln(n/2)}$$
 after n/2 sleeps

Induction analysis:

**Distributed management** elects leaders in a robust and load-balanced way, assuming temporary conflicts are tolerable.





- Distributed management relies on global state
  - Who to probe?
  - How to manage?



- Distributed management relies on global state
  - Who to probe?
  - How to manage?
- IP address, MAC address
- TCP listen ports



- Replicated state machine?
  - Unreliable machines, correlated behavior
  - Strong consistency overkill



- Replicated state machine?
  - Unreliable machines, correlated behavior
  - Strong consistency overkill
- External database?
  - Lose instant deployability



- Replicated state machine?
  - Unreliable machines, correlated behavior
  - Strong consistency overkill
- External database?
  Lose instant deployability
- Exploit subnet and weaker consistency













- Periodic broadcast while awake
- 2. Rebroadcast by managers while asleep



- Periodic broadcast while awake
- 2. Rebroadcast by managers while asleep



- Periodic broadcast while awake
- 2. Rebroadcast by managers while asleep



- Periodic broadcast while awake
- 2. Rebroadcast by managers while asleep



- Periodic broadcast while awake
- 2. Rebroadcast by managers while asleep
- 3. Daily roll call to garbage-collect state

Subnet state coordination distributes per-machine state on a subnet when strong consistency is not required.



3. Daily roll call to garbage-collect state

eep

# Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?



Machine	State



Distributed management

Subnet state coordination

Guardians

- 3. How effective is GreenUp?
  - Evaluation on ~100 user machines, currently deployed on ~1,100 machines

# Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?



- 3. How effective is GreenUp?
  - Evaluation on ~100 user machines, currently deployed on ~1,100 machines
### Outline

- 1. How does GreenUp work?
- 2. What can I learn from GreenUp?



Machine	State



Distributed management

Subnet state coordination

Guardians

- 3. How effective is GreenUp?
  - Evaluation on ~100 user machines, currently deployed on ~1,100 machines

### Deployment in Microsoft

#### • C# code

- Interfaces with packet sniffer/network driver
- Client GUI for users and easy deployment
- Pilot on ~1,100 machines





#### Evaluation

- Logs from 101 Windows 7 machines, Feb. –
  Sep. 2011
- Questions:
  - Does GreenUp consistently wake machines when accessed?
  - Does it do so in time to meet user patience?
  - Can GreenUp scale to large subnets?

#### GreenUp wakes machines reliably



### GreenUp wakes machines reliably

- Connect to machines using Samba (TCP port 139)
- 11 different days (weekends, evenings):
  - 496 already awake, 278
    woken, 5 unwakeable
  - Most failures due to WoL
- 99.4% success rate



### GreenUp wakes machines reliably

- Connect to machines using Samba (TCP port 139)
- 11 different da (weekends, ev
  - 496 already a woken, 5 unwakeable
  - Most failures due to WoL
- WoL is availability bottleneck!

• 99.4% success rate

- GreenUp relies on *some* user patience
  - Wakeup delay
  - User retry logic



- GreenUp relies on *some* user patience
  - Wakeup delay
  - User retry logic
- Side-effect of WoL failure: manager logs how long user waits
  - 48 events









 Convolving: GreenUp wakes machines before user gives up 85% of the time



 Convolving: GreenUp wakes machines before user gives up 85% of the time

- Sources of manager load
  - Intercept traffic for asleep machines
  - Broadcast state
  - Probe/respond to probes









 Simulated probing load on 2.4-GHz, dual-core Windows 7 machine w/ 4GB memory and 1Gb/s NIC:

# of managed machines	CPU utilization
100	12%
200	21%
300	29%

Simulated probing load on 2.4-GHz, dual-core
 Windows 7 machine w/ 4GB memory and 1Gb/s NIC:

# of managed machines	CPU utilization
100	12%
200	21%
300	29%

• Guardians ensure max load is 100

• Energy savings depends on sleep time

• Energy savings depends on sleep time



• Energy savings depends on sleep time



- Energy savings depends on sleep time
- IT enforces sleep policy at Microsoft, so hard to tell













#### Other solutions

- Sleep proxy idea: Christensen & Gulledge '98
- Recently:

System	Technique
Somniloquy, NSDI '09	augmented NICs
LiteGreen, ATC '10 Jettison, EuroSys '12	VM migration
SleepServer, ATC '10	application stubs
Nedevschi <i>et al.,</i> NSDI '08 Reich <i>et al.,</i> ATC '10	dedicated servers

#### Other solutions

- Sleep proxy idea: Christen
- Recently:

Barriers to deployment

ge '98

System	Technique
Somniloquy, NSDI '09	augmented NICs
LiteGreen, ATC '10 Jettison, EuroSys '12	VM migration
SleepServer, ATC '10	application stubs
Nedevschi <i>et al.,</i> NSDI '08 Reich <i>et al.,</i> ATC '10	dedicated servers

### GreenUp

- Completely decentralized, software-only sleep proxy
- Useful distributed systems techniques
- High availability at low cost, even as machines sleep!

