Accurate Timeout Detection Despite Arbitrary Processing Delays



Sixiang Ma, Yang Wang The Ohio State University



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Timeout is Widely Used in Failure Detection







Timeout Detection Can be Inaccurate

When timeout happens, it is hard to tell between:

sender crash failure

heartbeat delay



Accuracy: when receiver reports timeout, sender mush have failed. [Chandra, Journal of ACM' 96]



How to Ensure System Correctness

- Approach 1: Paxos-based consensus
 - ensure correctness despite inaccurate timeout detection
 - high cost and complexity
 - examples: ZooKeeper, Chubby, Spanner, etc.

How to Ensure System Correctness

- Approach 2: Set long timeout intervals
 - system correctness relies on timeout accuracy
 - estimate the maximum delay of the communication channel
 - examples: HDFS, Ceph, Yarn, etc
 - Our work aims to improve this approach

The Dilemma: Availability v.s. Correctness





Correctness: require long timeout to tolerate maximum delays

Availability: prefer short timeout for fast failure detection



The Dilemma: Availability v.s. Correctness

Correctness: require long timeout to tolerate maximum delays tion Availa **Can we shorten timeout intervals** without sacrificing correctness?







Motivations

1. Long delays in OS and application

2. Their whitebox nature creates opportunities for better solutions

Motivations

1. Long delays in OS and application

2. Their whitebox nature creates opportunities for better solutions

Heartbeat Delay in Our Experiment

- Disk I/O: 10 seconds
- Packet processing: 2 seconds
- JVM garbage collection: 26 seconds
- Application specific delays: several minutes

 - **ZooKeeper**: session close/expire flooding

HDFS: directories deletion before heartbeat sending

Heartbeat Delay Reported in Communities

CEPH-19335: MDS heartbeat timeout during rejoin, when working with large amount of

HBASE-3273: Set the ZK default timeout to 3 minutes

"Stack suggested that we increase the ZK timeout and proposed that we set it to **3 minutes**. This should cover most of the big GC pauses."

> heartbeats get blocked by disk in checkBlock()





over large regions"



Delays in OS and Application Are Significant

- HDFS: 30 seconds
- Ceph: 20 seconds
- ZooKeeper: 5 seconds

Compared to default timeout, delays in OS and App are significant

Motivations

1. Long delays in OS and application

2. Their whitebox nature creates opportunities for better solutions

Existing Timeout Views Channel as a Blackbox

Blackbox: only provides information when receiving a packet



Whitebox Nature of OS and Application





Whitebox: can provide information such as packet pending/drop



Whitebox Nature of OS and Application

- Can we utilize whitebox nature to design better solution?



Whitebox: can provide information such as packet pending/drop

Estimated Maximum Delay



Overview of SafeTimer

- **Assumptions** of SafeTimer
- Delays in whitebox can be arbitrarily long
- SafeTimer relies on existing protocol for blackbox
- Solutions
 - - Sender: blocks sender when heartbeat sending is slow

Goal: if the receiver reports timeout, the sender must have failed

Receiver: check pending/dropped heartbeats when timeout occurs



Overview of SafeTimer

- Assumptions of SafeTimer
- Delays in whitebox can be arbitrarily long
 - SafeTimer relies on existing protocol for blackbox
- Solutions

Receiver: check pending/dropped heartbeats when timeout occurs

Sender: blocks sender when heartbeat sending is slow

Goal: if the receiver reports timeout, the sender must have failed



Background: Concurrent Packet Processing



Background: Concurrent Packet Processing Kernel Hareware Soft IRQ Hard IRQ Backlogs NIC CPU0 Interrupt Read TCP/IP RX Queue Ring Buffer X 7/

CPU3



Background: Concurrent Packet Processing Kernel Hareware Soft IRQ Hard IRQ Backlogs NIC CPU0 Interrupt Read TCP/IP RX Queue Ring Buffer CPU3

Receive Side Scaling (RSS)



Background: Concurrent Packet Processing



Background: Concurrent Packet Processing





Packet Reordering

Multiple concurrent pipelines





SafeTimer's Solution: Barrier Mechanism

Receiver sends barrier packets to itself when timeout Force heartbeats and barriers to be executed in FIFO order When barriers are processed => Heartbeats arrived before timeout must have been processed













Overview of SafeTimer

- **Assumptions** of SafeTimer
- Delays in whitebox can be arbitrarily long
- SafeTimer relies on existing protocol for blackbox
- Solutions

Receiver: check pending/dropped heartbeats when timeout occurs

Sender: blocks sender when heartbeat sending is slow

Goal: if the receiver reports timeout, the sender must have failed



Problems in Existing Killing Mechanism

- Killing a slow sender is not a new idea, but
 - Killing operation itself can be **delayed**
 - - => Accuracy will be violated

Sender alive for arbitrarily long after receiver reports failure



Utilizing the Idea of Output Commit

A slow sender may continue processing

As long as other nodes do not observe the effects, the slow sender is **indistinguishable** from a **failed** sender [Edmund, OSDI'06]



Block Sender When It Is Slow

Maintain a timestamp t_{valid} before which sending is valid Extend *t_{valid}* when sender sends heartbeats successfully - The definition of "success" depends on the blackbox protocol SafeTimer blocks sending if current time > t_{valid}



No Need to Include Maximal Delay For Whitebox

- Receiver doesn't report failure if heartbeats arrived before timeout
- Sender is blocked when sender is slow



Estimated Maximum Delay



Implementation Overview

- Re-direct heartbeats and barriers to STQueue
- Send barriers to a specific RX Queue
- Force barriers to go through NIC
- Fetch real-time drop count
- Detect heartbeat sending completion
- Block slow sender



Evaluation Overview

- whitebox?
- What is the overhead of SafeTimer?

Can SafeTimer achieve accuracy despite long delays in

Evaluation: Accuracy

- Methodology:
 - inject delay/drop at different layers
 - compare with vanilla timeout implementation
- Result:
 - SafeTimer can correctly prevent false timeout report
 - vanilla implementation violates accuracy

Accuracy: Heartbeats Delayed/Dropped on Receiver

Sender is still alive!

Node	Instrument Position	Injected Event	SafeTimer	Vanilla
Receiver	System call (recv)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	Socket (sock_queue_rcv_skb)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	NFQueue (nfqnl_enqueue_packet)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	N/A
Receiver	IP (ip_rcv)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	RPS (enqueue_to_backlog)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	Ethernet (napi_gro_receive)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Sender	System call (send)	Delay	Blocked	Alive
Sender	Socket (sock_sendmsg)	Delay	Blocked	Alive
Sender	IP (ip_output)	Delay/Drop	Blocked	Alive. Can observe dr
Sender	Ethernet (dev_queue_xmit)	Delay	Blocked	Alive









Accuracy: Heartbeats Delayed/Dropped on Sender

Node	Instrument Position	Injected Event	SafeTimer	Vanilla
Receiver	System call (recv)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	Socket (sock_queue_rcv_skb)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	NFQueue (nfqnl_enqueue_packet)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	N/A
Receiver	IP (ip_rcv)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	RPS (enqueue_to_backlog)	Delay/Drop	No timeout	Timeout
Receiver	Ethernet (napi_gro_receive)	Delay	No timeout	Timeout
Sender	System call (send)	Delay	Blocked	Alive
Sender	Socket (sock_sendmsg)	Delay	Blocked	Alive
Sender	IP (ip_output)	Delay/Drop	Blocked	Alive. Can observe dr
Sender	Ethernet (dev_queue_xmit)	Delay	Blocked	Alive

Receiver has reported timeout!







Evaluation: Performance Overhead

- Ping-Pong micro benchmark
 - small overhead (up to 2.7%) for small packets
 - negligible overhead for large packets
 - Benchmarks for HDFS and Ceph
 - DFSIO and RADOS Bench
 - negligible overhead

Related Work

- Synchronous systems: HDFS, Ceph, etc.
- Asynchronous systems: Spanner, ZooKeeper, etc.
- Failure detection without timeout:
 - Falcon and its following works [SOSP'11, NSDI'13, EuroSys'15]
 - Work if whole channel is a whitebox
 - Use timeout as a backup



Related Work

- Real-time OS
 - threads, etc.
 - avoid disk I/Os, etc.
 - Still cannot provide hard real-time guarantees

- Support: real-time scheduling; prioritized interrupts and

- Guidelines: implement functions in low layers; pin memory;

Summary

- SafeTimer achieves accurate timeout detection despite arbitrary processing delays
- Users can set shorter timeout intervals without sacrificing accuracy
- The overhead of SafeTimer is small

The End

Questions?