Titan: Fair Packet Scheduling for Commodity Multiqueue NICs

Brent Stephens, Arjun Singhvi, Aditya Akella, and Mike Swift

July 13th, 2017





Ethernet line-rates are increasing!



ETHERNET SPEEDS







To drive increasing line-rates



Low CPU utilization networking

Underlying mechanisms:





Multiqueue NICs

TCP Segmentation Offload (TSO)

- Many operations performed by the OS are per-packet, not perbyte
- TSO allows the OS to send large segments to the NIC
- TSO NIC hardware generates packets from segments



Using large segments (64KB) instead of packets can reduce CPU load





TSO and multiqueue cause pervasive unfairness



- Fairness is needed so competing applications can **share the network**
- Fairness is needed for predictability
 - Unfairness leads to unpredictable completion times across runs
 - Perfect fairness \rightarrow perfect predictability

Fairness is important

- Fairness can improve application performance
 - Ex: Weighted Coflow Scheduling
 - [Chowdhury SIGCOMM11, Chowdhury SIGCOMM14]



Multiqueue Fairness in Linux:

- Flow arrivals to each transmit queue are dynamic
- The OS **statically** uses a per-flow hash to assign flows to queues
- The NIC scheduler **statically** uses deficit round-robin (DRR) to provide per-queue fairness
- In the datacenter, the OS statically chooses a TSO size

Titan Design:

As flows **dynamically** arrive and complete, in Titan:

The OS dynamically:

- Assigns weights to flows
- Tracks the flow occupancy of queues
- Picks queues for flows
- Updates the NIC with queue weights

The NIC dynamically:

• Applies queue weights from the OS



Causes of Unfairness:





Multiqueue unfairness

TSO unfairness

Problem: Hash collisions



Problem: Hash collisions Solution: Dynamic Queue Assignment (DQA)



- OS assigns a weight to each flow
- DQA picks the queue with the lowest occupancy when a flow starts
- Queue occupancies are updated:
 - Any time a flow starts enqueuing data
 - Any time a flow has no enqueued bytes (at most each TX interrupt)

Problem: Hash collisions Solution: Dynamic Queue Assignment (DQA)



Problem: Asymmetric Oversubscription



Problem: Asymmetric Oversubscription Solution: Dynamic Queue Weight Assignment (DQWA)



- OS assigns weights to flows
- OS updates the NIC scheduler with queue occupancies as flows start and stop (at most each TX interrupt)
- NIC updates DRR weights

This is implementable on existing commodity NICs because it only needs to update DRR weights!

Problem: Asymmetric Oversubscription Solution: Dynamic Queue Weight Assignment (DQWA)



This is implementable on existing commodity NICs because it only needs to update DRR weights!

Problem: TSO Unfairness



- Short-term unfairness can cause bursts of congestion in the network
- Short-term unfairness can increase latency



Problem: TSO Unfairness

Solution: Dynamic Segmentation Offload Sizing (DSOS)



Implementation

- DQA, DQWA, and DSOS are implemented in Linux 4.4.6
- Support for ndo_set_tx_weight is implemented in the Intel ixgbe driver for the Intel 82599 10Gbps NIC
- Titan is open source!

https://github.com/bestephe/titan



Evaluation

- Microbenchmarks
 - 2 servers, 1 switch
 - 8 queue NICs
 - Vary number of flows (level of oversubscription)
- Incremental fairness benefits of DQA, DQWA, and DSOS
 - DQA and DQWA: expected to improve long-term fairness
 - DSOS: expected to improve short-term fairness



Evaluation – Fairness Metric

Metrics:

- Normalized fairness metric (NFM) inspired by Shreedhar and Varghese:
 - NFM = 0 is fair
 - NFM > 1 is very unfair

NFM = (Bytes(MaxFlow) -Bytes(MinFlow)) / Bytes(FairShair)

Ideal packet schedule: NFM = 0Unfair packet schedule: NFM = 1





Microbenchmarks – 1s Timescale

- Linux is unfair at all subscription levels
- DQA often significantly improves fairness
 - At 48 flows, flow churn prevents DQA from evenly spreading flows
- DQWA improves fairness when DQA cannot evenly spread flows across queues
- DSOS does not have a significant impact on longterm fairness



Linux DQA DQA + DQWA DQA + DQWA + DSOS (16KB)

Microbenchmarks – 1ms Timescale

- At short timescales and under oversubscription, DQA and DQWA do not significantly improve fairness
 - TSO is the primary cause of unfairness



Linux DQA DQA + DQWA DQA + DQWA + DSOS (16KB)

 DSOS (16KB) often reduces unfairness by >2x



Titan improves fairness without changing the network core!

Additional Evaluation

Additional performance metrics:

- Throughput: line-rate
- Latency: no significant change
- CPU Utilization:
 - DQA and DQWA: increase < 10%
 - DSOS is better than statically decreasing the TSO size
 - DSOS motivates creating a better TSO implementation (zero-copy)

Linux network configuration trade-off study

• See paper



Summary

- Multi queue NICs can lead to significant flow-level unfairness
 - Titan significantly improves fairness by allowing the OS to *dynamically* interact with the NIC packet scheduler
 - Titan is implementable on commodity NICs!



https://github.com/bestephe/titan