Privacy-Preserving Stream Analytics https://privapprox.github.io

Do Le Quoc, Martin Beck,

Pramod Bhatotia, Ruichuan Chen, Christof Fetzer, Thorsten Strufe







July 2017









How to preserve users' privacy while supporting high-utility data analytics for low-latency stream processing?

Clients





Personal data should be stored locally under the clients' control





Personal data should be stored locally under the clients' control

































Limitations:



Limitations:

• Deal with only "single-shot" batch queries 😕



Limitations:

- Deal with only "single-shot" batch queries 😕
- Require synchronization between system components 😕



Limitations:

- Deal with only "single-shot" batch queries 😕
- Require synchronization between system components 😕
- Require a trusted aggregator 😕

Clients



Clients



PrivApprox:

Clients



PrivApprox:

• Supports stream processing with low latency ③

Clients



PrivApprox:

- Supports stream processing with low latency ③
- Enables a truly synchronization-free distributed architecture 🙂

Clients



PrivApprox:

- Supports stream processing with low latency ③
- Enables a truly synchronization-free distributed architecture 🙂
- Requires lower trust in aggregator 🙂

Outline

- Overview
- Design
- Evaluation



PrivApprox

Analyst





Execution budget:

- Latency/throughput guarantees
- Desired **computing resources** for query processing
- Desired accuracy



Execution budget:

- Latency/throughput guarantees
- Desired **computing resources** for query processing
- Desired accuracy



PrivApprox

Analyst










System overview



System overview



System overview







Idea: To achieve low latency, compute over a sub-set of data items instead of the entire data-set



Idea: To achieve low latency, compute over a sub-set of data items instead of the entire data-set



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time

Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Idea: To preserve privacy, clients may not need to provide truthful answers every time



Provides **plausible deniability** for clients responding to sensitive queries; achieves **differential privacy** (RAPPOR [CCS'14])

Outline

- Motivation
- Overview
- Design
- Evaluation

Divide answer's value range into **buckets**, enforce a **binary answer** in each bucket

Divide answer's value range into **buckets**, enforce a **binary answer** in each bucket

Query: SELECT age FROM clients WHERE city = 'Santa Clara'

Divide answer's value range into **buckets**, enforce a **binary answer** in each bucket

Query: SELECT age FROM clients WHERE city = 'Santa Clara'



Divide answer's value range into **buckets**, enforce a **binary answer** in each bucket

Query: SELECT age FROM clients WHERE city = 'Santa Clara'

Divide answer's value range into **buckets**, enforce a **binary answer** in each bucket

Query: SELECT age FROM clients WHERE city = 'Santa Clara'

Client cannot arbitrarily manipulate answers

Workflow: Submit query



Workflow: Submit query



Workflow: Submit query





















#3: Anonymity and unlinkability
Idea: XOR-based Encryption

Idea: XOR-based Encryption

Client



Idea: XOR-based Encryption

Client



Encrypt answer M:

GenerateKey -> M_k M XOR M_k -> M_E

Idea: XOR-based Encryption



Encrypt answer M:

GenerateKey -> M_k $M \times OR M_k$ -> M_E

Idea: XOR-based Encryption



Encrypt answer M:

GenerateKey -> M_k $M \times OR M_k$ -> M_E **Decrypt answer** M_E : $M_E \times M_k \rightarrow M$









Outline

- Motivation
- Overview
- Design
- Evaluation

Experimental setup

• Evaluation questions

- Utility vs privacy
- Throughput & latency
- Network overhead

Experimental setup

• Evaluation questions

- Utility vs privacy
- Throughput & latency
- Network overhead

See the paper for more results!

Experimental setup

• Evaluation questions

- Utility vs privacy
- Throughput & latency
- Network overhead
- Testbed
 - Cluster: 44 nodes
 - Dataset: NYC Taxi ride records, household electricity usage

See the paper for more results!



17











NYC Taxi Ride
Household Electricity









~8X speedup when going from one node to 20 nodes

NYC Taxi Ride









~1.66X lower than the native execution with sampling fraction of 60%

NYC Taxi Ride









~1.6X lower than the native execution with sampling fraction of 60%

Privacy	Zero-knowledge privacy
Theacy	Zero-knowledge privacy

Privacy	Zero-knowledge privacy
	A dentive eventiens been done even budget
Practical	Adaptive execution based on query budget

Privacy	Zero-knowledge privacy
Practical	Adaptive execution based on query budget
Efficient	Randomized response & sampling techniques

PrivApprox: a privacy-preserving stream analytics system over distributed datasets

Privacy	Zero-knowledge privacy
Practical	Adaptive execution based on query budget
Efficient	Randomized response & sampling techniques

Thank you! https://privapprox.github.io