# Truly Non-blocking Writes

Luis Useche<sup>2</sup> Ricardo Koller<sup>2</sup> Raju Rangaswami<sup>2</sup> Akshat Verma<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IBM Research. India

<sup>2</sup>School of Computing and Information Sciences College of Engineering and Computing



HotStorage Workshop, 2011

Memory access granularity is smaller than disk's





Backing Store















5. Return

For writes: why wait for data that the application doesn't need?







#### Backing Store





















#### Benefits

- 1. Application execution time reduction
- 2. Increased backing store bandwidth usage

## Motivation $\rightarrow$ Higher Fault Rates

Do you use memory overcommit?



Memory over-committed in virtualized environments

## Motivation $\rightarrow$ Higher Fault Rates

Do you use memory overcommit?



Memory over-committed in virtualized environments



More process running with multi-core and virtualized environments

## Motivation $\rightarrow$ Higher Fault Rates

Do you use memory overcommit?



Memory over-committed in virtualized environments



More process running with multi-core and virtualized environments



Memory hierarchy moving towards a more active and faster backing store

 We calculate the % of faults that can benefit in all our workloads

We calculate the % of faults that can benefit in all our workloads:

Image Processing Rendering of SVG images Developer Unit and performance testing Server Application, database, and mail server

We calculate the % of faults that can benefit in all our workloads:

Image Processing Rendering of SVG images Developer Unit and performance testing Server Application, database, and mail server

- Simulator with full-system memory traces.
- ▶ RAM set to 50% of app footprint

We calculate the % of faults that can benefit in all our workloads:

Image Processing Rendering of SVG images Developer Unit and performance testing Server Application, database, and mail server

- Simulator with full-system memory traces.
- ▶ RAM set to 50% of app footprint
- ▶ Up to 80% of page faults benefit



Alternatives to non-blocking writes:

#### Perfect DRAM Provision

Unpredictable or unbounded.

#### Prefetching

Can incur false positives and false negatives.

#### Asynchronous System Calls

- 1. Do not work with memory mapped pages
- 2. Written data not immediately available for reading

Process







#### Information Per Non-blocking Write

Information

Write Offset

Data Written

Size of Data



Information	ı Per Non-blocki	ing Write	
	Information	Supervised	
		write()	
	Write Offset	~	
	Data Written	~	
	Size of Data	~	



Information Per Non-blocking Write					
	Information	Supervised	Unsupervised		
		write()	Fault		
	Write Offset	✓	✓		
	Data Written	~	X		
	Size of Data	<b>~</b>	×		



Information Per Non-blocking Write					
Information	Supervised	Unsupervised			
	write()	Fault			
Write Offset	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓			
Data Written	~	X			
Size of Data	~	×			

# Handling Unsupervised Writes




Approach	Description	Fast	All Arch?	Low Mem?
Full Feature Hard- ware	fault()	r	×	~
Opcode Disassembly	4 bytes offset sw \$t1, 0xff data	r	×	~
Page Diff-Merge	Disk Page or O-buffer and 1-buffer Updated Page	x	~	×

- 1. Fraction of non-blocking write faults  $\checkmark$
- 2. Outstanding write faults (over time)
- 3. Savings in execution time (new!)

### Virtual Memory Simulator

Input RAM size & Full System Memory Traces Output Performance statistics

- ▶ Memory size set to 50% of workloads footprint
- Creating patches is not required

## Quantifying Benefits $\rightarrow$ Metric

- ▶ How to measure the additional parallelism?
- Outstanding Write Faults (OWF): # of parallel write faults at any time
  - $\checkmark~\text{OWF} \leq \text{OIO}$
  - $\checkmark~$  OWF  $\leq 1$  for single threaded applications
  - $\checkmark~$  OWF  $\geq$  0 when using non-blocking writes
- ▶ We need the variations over time as well
- ▶ E[OWF]: time-weighted average OWF



## Quantifying Benefits $\rightarrow$ Time Reduction

- These results are not in the paper
- ▶ Execution time = Trace time + Synchronous read time
- ▶ Write time of dirty page on evictions ignored
- Rough estimate: error proportional to the number of dirty pages evicted



- We presented non-blocking writes: a technique to eliminate read-before-writes
  - ✓ Reduced execution time
  - ✓ Increased device usage
- ▶ We estimate a reduction times of 0.1-54%
- In the future, we are planning to implement non-blocking writes to better study its implications
  - ✓ What workloads benefit from Non-blocking writes?

# Questions?

### Input: RAM size & Mem Traces

Output: Per Entry: Timestamp and event (hit, miss, evict); Global: Performance stats.

Writes to out-of-core pages considered non-blocking

- ▶ Non-blocking status revoked when:
  - 1. The page is read before  $\mathsf{I}/\mathsf{O}$  completion
  - 2. The page is evicted before I/O completion

Modified x86 software-MMU QEMU to log all memory accesses:

 Instruction count, CR3, virtual/physical address, access-mode, page privileges.

Workloads				
	Туре	#	Footprint	
			Avg/Std (MB)	
	Server	10	294/158	
	Developer	4	269/183	
	Image	1	149/0	

- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.

Process

- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.

- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.



- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.



- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.



- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.



- 1. Write in two pages: 0-page and 1-page.
- 2. Merge with and and or.

