RADoN: QoS in Storage Networks

Tim Kaldewey¹ Richard Golding² Theodore M Wong² Andrew Shewmaker¹ Carlos Maltzahn¹ Scott Brandt¹

¹University of California Santa Cruz Computer Science Department {kalt,shewa,carlosm,scott} @cs.ucsc.edu

²IBM Almaden Research Center Storage Systems Department {rgolding,theowong} @us.ibm.com

This work was supported by NSF Award No. CCF-0621534

Storage QoS - XXL

End-to-End storage QoS



Storage QoS in practice

End-to-End storage QoS



Common approach: phys. partitioning to achieve isolation



Storage QoS in practice

End-to-End storage QoS



Common approach: phys. partitioning to achieve isolation often > overprovisioning =(

Storage QoS in research

End-to-End storage QoS





Storage QoS in research

End-to-End storage QoS



End-to-End storage QoS ?

End-to-End storage QoS





How does guaranteed storage performance translate to network and cache requirements?

How to coordinate network, cache and storage subsystems?





End-to-End storage QoS ?

- Large storage system → Many parameters to tweak
- Which are important?



RADoN - model

- Large storage system → Many parameters to tweak
- Which are important? → Find out via simulation:



RADoN - simulation results



Time series for throughput of 4 clients, each reserving 25% of storage performance, but producing enough results to saturate the disk itself

Work in Progress

- Simulating multiple approaches to coordinate subsystems
- Implementation on top of existing QoS disk scheduler [Fahrrad]
- Complete E2E storage QoS framework [RADI/O]

Work in Progress

- Simulating multiple approaches to coordinate subsystems
- Implementation on top of existing QoS disk scheduler [Fahrrad]
- Complete E2E storage QoS framework [RADI/O]

Long term goal:

better storage QoS to avoid



