Taming the Flying Cable Monster: A Topology Design and Optimization Framework for Data-Center Networks

Jayaram Mudigonda Praveen Yalagandula Jeff Mogul

HP Labs, Palo Alto, CA





This paper

Introduces a new research area: datacenter topology design and wiring

- Characterizes the problem and exposes several challenges
- Presents a novel framework, Perseus, for datacenter network design
- Describes the workflow for finding a cost-effective network
- Solves several novel optimization problems

Disclaimers: This paper does not

- Quantify precise costs of different network designs
 - Please do not believe the cost numbers we present in the paper
- Compare general merits of different topologies
- Consider all dimensions of the design space



Outline

Introduction Problem Perseus Framework Workflow, Topologies, Optimizations Results Further steps Summary

h

Topologies

Trends:

- Datacenters are becoming larger and larger
- Need high bisection bandwidth: E.g., Map-Reduce, VM placement

Traditional topologies (tree-like) are not scalable

• Core switch is the bottleneck for bandwidth

Data-center networks need newer multi-path topologies

- That achieve high bisection bandwidth with limited port count switches
- E.g., FatTree, HyperX, Bcube

So far these topologies have not been feasible but for the advent of

- Cheap high speed high port count switches
- Multi-path forwarding techniques: VL2, SPAIN, PortLand, etc.



Problem: Design space too large for humans

Many topologies to choose from

Several different topology families



- Several free parameters → large number of choices within each family
 - Switch port count
 - Number of servers per edge-switch
 - Link speeds

Previous topology work: Mostly focused on a few logical metrics

• Bisection bandwidth, Maximum number of hops, etc.

But in practice, wiring becomes a complex problem



Wiring is a complex problem

Goal is to maximize performance at minimum cost

Bisection Bandwidth Worst-case Latency Reliability Serviceability Expandability

Capital	Operational
Switches Cables Racks Physical Space	Power SKUs Administration (regular maintenance, fixing faults)
Physical Space Installation	(regular maintenance, fixing faults)



Real world constraints

- Face-plate size restricts number of switch connectors
- Cross-aisle cable trays can not be over every rack
- •Rack plenum restricts the size of cable bundle

• Cable length restrictions:

e.g., copper 10GbE has max range of ~10m







Related work - I

Classical topology analysis

- Mainly focused on bisection bandwidth & hop counts
 - Ahn et al. 2009: find HyperX topology with min # of switches that achieve a given bisection BW
- Cabling complexity/cost was not considered

Placement and routing problems are similar to those in VLSI at a high level

• But different in details



Related work - II

Popa et al 2010: Compared the cost of different DC network architectures

- Did not focus on cost minimization in each topology family
- Did not consider placement optimization problem
- Assumed simpler model for cable costs

Farrington et al 2009: Analyzed cabling issues for FatTree networks

- Upper level switches and levels consolidated
- Design using merchant silicon, with cables as traces on circuit boards



Outline

Introduction Problem Perseus Framework Workflow, Topologies, Optimizations Results Further steps Summary

h

Perseus

Framework to assist network designer

Defines design workflow

Topology families

- Extended Generalized Fat Trees
- HyperX



Perseus with Medusa's head - sculpture by Antonio Canova, 1801. Museo Pio-Clementino, Roma. Courtesy: Wikipedia





User inputs:

- Number of servers, Number of racks and rack layout restrictions
- Bandwidth, Hop count
- Available parts (switches, cables, racks) and cost models
- One or more of topology families





Candidate logical topology generation:

- Extended Generalized Fat Tree (EGFT) Covered in this talk
- HyperX 🗲 See paper
- Our framework allows plugging in other topology generators



EGFT topology

Extended Generalized Fat Tree topologies Parameters:

- Number of levels, L
- Aggregation factor at each level, M_{ℓ} for $1 \le \ell \le L$
- Number of top switches in each module at each level, C_{ℓ} for $1 \le \ell \le L$
- Number of links from top switch to each module, K_{ℓ} for $1 \le \ell \le L$



Generating Candidate Topologies: EGFT

Bottom-up exhaustive search

- Given: N servers and R-port switches
- For each level ℓ , choose M_{ℓ} C_{ℓ} K_{ℓ}
- Requirement:

Each top switch should connect to all M_{ℓ} level (ℓ -1) modules

• Constraints:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{\ell} &\leq \mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{C}_{\ell} &\leq \mathsf{number of free ports at level } (\ell - 1) \ \mathsf{module} = \mathsf{f}_{\ell-1} \\ \mathsf{K}_{\ell} &\leq \mathsf{R}/\mathsf{M}_{\ell} \ \mathsf{AND} \ \mathsf{K}_{\ell} &\leq \mathsf{f}_{\ell-1}/\mathsf{C}_{\ell} \end{split}$$

Search space can be huge

• Example: With N=1024 and R=48, size > 1 billion

EGFT: Heuristics to Prune Search Space

H1: At the top level, use the maximum lag factor possible

H2: Ignore all possibilities at a level that achieve lower oversubscription than at the lower levels

H3: If all lower level modules can be aggregated into one module, then do not consider other possible aggregations

H4: At the top level, use as many available switches as you can for the core switches



Effectiveness of EGFT Heuristics







Heuristics:

- Avoid placing server and its edge-switch in two different racks
- Pack a rack tightly before using another rack





Part and manufacturing costs:

- Switches: \$500 per 10GbE port
- Cables and connectors
 - Cost depends on the length and type of a cable
- Cable installation labor: \$2.50 per intra-rack and \$6.25 per inter-rack
- Note: Perseus can be used with other cost models





Visualization: Rudimentary at this time

- Excel sheets
- 2-D plots
- DOT diagrams using GraphViz, an open source graph visualization package



Sample Results



Experimental parameters

Parameter values:

- Number of servers: 1024 to 8192
- Switch radices: 32, 48, 64, 96, and 144
 - Restrict to topologies with only single switch type
- Various number of terminals per switch

Disclaimer:

• Switch and cable costs are list prices; would be cheaper in bulk



Cost vs. Bisection BW: 1024 servers, FatTree





Cost vs. Bisection BW: 1024 servers, FatTree





Cost vs. Bisection BW: 1024 servers, HyperX



For same number of switches, a different HyperX configuration can result in better bisection bandwidth at lower cost



Further Steps



Optimization Problem: Logical to physical mapping

Problem: Given logical topology of switches, servers, and links, generate a feasible mapping of these onto a physical space with racks arranged in rows with multiple racks per row such that the wiring cost is minimized.

Rack constraints:

- Racks have fixed heights
- Limit on number of cables exiting a rack

Cable tray constraints:

- Each row has a cable tray running on top
- Not every column has a cross tray running on top, for cooling reasons

Cable constraints:

Cheap copper cables have a maximum span (about 10 meters)
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 <sup>© Copyright 2011 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information
 [©] Expensive Optical components need to be used for longer links
</sup></sup></sup></sup></sup></sup>



Other interesting optimization challenges

Performance metrics and costs not addressed currently:

- Non-uniform Bisection Bandwidth
- Reliability
- Expandability
- Serviceability: Maintenance, SKUs
- Power
- Topologies with different switch types

Topologies:

- BCube, CamCube, etc.:
 - Servers with multi-interface NICs
 - Servers acting as end-points and switches



Perseus Tool

Current status: a preliminary prototype

Further work:

- Scalability to design networks for 100K servers
 - Current heuristics allow scaling to 8-32K servers
- Visualization
- Generate wiring instructions
- Verify installations



Summary

Data-center wiring – a rich research area with several hard and interesting problems

• A complex problem for manual design

Our current work barely scratches this problem space

- Perseus: A framework to help engineers in exploring the large design space
- Considered various topologies: EGFT and HyperX
- Exposed several interesting problems
- Heuristics for reducing the huge design search space



Thank you

